


Datamar Statewide Survey Crosstabs and Graphs

June 2006 California Primary

Note: The description of the questions have been abbreviated to minimize space inside the crosstabs. The full text of the questions can be found in the survey.


Q13. Governor approval * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	86	415	10	33	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	15.8%	76.3%	1.8%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	15.9%	74.0%	26.3%	41.3%	44.6%
		% of Total	7.0%	34.0%	.8%	2.7%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	418	105	22	34	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	72.2%	18.1%	3.8%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	77.1%	18.7%	57.9%	42.5%	47.4%
		% of Total	34.2%	8.6%	1.8%	2.8%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	38	41	6	13	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	38.8%	41.8%	6.1%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	7.0%	7.3%	15.8%	16.3%	8.0%
		% of Total	3.1%	3.4%	.5%	1.1%	8.0%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	177	46	5	10	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	74.4%	19.3%	2.1%	4.2%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	32.7%	8.2%	13.2%	12.5%	19.5%
		% of Total	14.5%	3.8%	.4%	.8%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	290	474	28	55	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	34.2%	56.0%	3.3%	6.5%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	53.5%	84.5%	73.7%	68.8%	69.4%
		% of Total	23.8%	38.8%	2.3%	4.5%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	75	41	5	15	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	55.1%	30.1%	3.7%	11.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	13.8%	7.3%	13.2%	18.8%	11.1%
		% of Total	6.1%	3.4%	.4%	1.2%	11.1%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration	
			Democrat	Total
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	231	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	42.6%	42.6%
		% of Total	42.6%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	208	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	38.4%	38.4%
		% of Total	38.4%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	103	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	19.0%	19.0%
		% of Total	19.0%	19.0%
Total	Count	542	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	100.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	100.0%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzenegger	Count	48	361	6	21	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	11.0%	82.8%	1.4%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	8.9%	64.3%	15.8%	26.3%	35.7%
		% of Total	3.9%	29.6%	.5%	1.7%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	214	18	7	11	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	85.6%	7.2%	2.8%	4.4%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	39.5%	3.2%	18.4%	13.8%	20.5%
		% of Total	17.5%	1.5%	.6%	.9%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	264	172	23	45	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	52.4%	34.1%	4.6%	8.9%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	48.7%	30.7%	60.5%	56.3%	41.3%
		% of Total	21.6%	14.1%	1.9%	3.7%	41.3%
	Don't know	Count	16	10	2	3	31
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	51.6%	32.3%	6.5%	9.7%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	3.0%	1.8%	5.3%	3.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.3%	.8%	.2%	.2%	2.5%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

		Q2. Registration				Total	
		Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration		
Q17. Director of state	Right direction	Count	113	191	12	16	332
	% within Q17. Director of state		34.0%	57.5%	3.6%	4.8%	100.0%
	% within Q2. Registration		20.8%	34.0%	31.6%	20.0%	27.2%
	% of Total		9.3%	15.6%	1.0%	1.3%	27.2%
Wrong direction	Wrong direction	Count	368	312	23	50	753
	% within Q17. Director of state		48.9%	41.4%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%
	% within Q2. Registration		67.9%	55.6%	60.5%	62.5%	61.7%
	% of Total		30.1%	25.6%	1.9%	4.1%	61.7%
Don't know	Don't know	Count	61	58	3	14	136
	% within Q17. Director of state		44.9%	42.6%	2.2%	10.3%	100.0%
	% within Q2. Registration		11.3%	10.3%	7.9%	17.5%	11.1%
	% of Total		5.0%	4.8%	.2%	1.1%	11.1%
Total	Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221
	% within Q17. Director of state		44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%
	% within Q2. Registration		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

		Q2. Registration				Total	
		Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration		
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	177	312	11	28	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	33.5%	59.1%	2.1%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	32.7%	55.6%	28.9%	35.0%	43.2%
		% of Total	14.5%	25.6%	.9%	2.3%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	289	171	21	33	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	56.2%	33.3%	4.1%	6.4%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	53.3%	30.5%	55.3%	41.3%	42.1%
		% of Total	23.7%	14.0%	1.7%	2.7%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	76	78	6	19	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	42.5%	43.6%	3.4%	10.6%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	14.0%	13.9%	15.8%	23.8%	14.7%
		% of Total	6.2%	6.4%	.5%	1.6%	14.7%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	71	66	2	5	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	49.3%	45.8%	1.4%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	13.1%	11.8%	5.3%	6.3%	11.8%
		% of Total	5.8%	5.4%	.2%	.4%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	177	47	5	14	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	72.8%	19.3%	2.1%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	32.7%	8.4%	13.2%	17.5%	19.9%
		% of Total	14.5%	3.8%	.4%	1.1%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	120	351	12	37	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	23.1%	67.5%	2.3%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	22.1%	62.6%	31.6%	46.3%	42.6%
		% of Total	9.8%	28.7%	1.0%	3.0%	42.6%
	Economy	Count	65	35	6	11	117
		% within Q19. Most important issue	55.6%	29.9%	5.1%	9.4%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	12.0%	6.2%	15.8%	13.8%	9.6%
		% of Total	5.3%	2.9%	.5%	.9%	9.6%
	Budget deficit	Count	63	38	9	10	120
		% within Q19. Most important issue	52.5%	31.7%	7.5%	8.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	11.6%	6.8%	23.7%	12.5%	9.8%
		% of Total	5.2%	3.1%	.7%	.8%	9.8%
	Other	Count	32	20	4	3	59
		% within Q19. Most important issue	54.2%	33.9%	6.8%	5.1%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	5.9%	3.6%	10.5%	3.8%	4.8%
		% of Total	2.6%	1.6%	.3%	.2%	4.8%
	Don't know	Count	14	4	0	0	18
		% within Q19. Most important issue	77.8%	22.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	2.6%	.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
		% of Total	1.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

		Q2. Registration				Total	
		Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration		
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	321	87	19	24	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	71.2%	19.3%	4.2%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	59.2%	15.5%	50.0%	30.0%	36.9%
		% of Total	26.3%	7.1%	1.6%	2.0%	36.9%
	No	Count	150	431	13	46	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	23.4%	67.3%	2.0%	7.2%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	27.7%	76.8%	34.2%	57.5%	52.4%
		% of Total	12.3%	35.3%	1.1%	3.8%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	71	43	6	10	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	54.6%	33.1%	4.6%	7.7%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	13.1%	7.7%	15.8%	12.5%	10.6%
		% of Total	5.8%	3.5%	.5%	.8%	10.6%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	143	30	9	9	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	74.9%	15.7%	4.7%	4.7%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	26.4%	5.3%	23.7%	11.3%	15.6%
		% of Total	11.7%	2.5%	.7%	.7%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	145	63	9	11	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	63.6%	27.6%	3.9%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	26.8%	11.2%	23.7%	13.8%	18.7%
		% of Total	11.9%	5.2%	.7%	.9%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	91	75	3	16	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	49.2%	40.5%	1.6%	8.6%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	16.8%	13.4%	7.9%	20.0%	15.2%
		% of Total	7.5%	6.1%	.2%	1.3%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	112	356	17	34	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	21.6%	68.6%	3.3%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	20.7%	63.5%	44.7%	42.5%	42.5%
		% of Total	9.2%	29.2%	1.4%	2.8%	42.5%
don't know	Count	51	37	0	10	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	52.0%	37.8%	.0%	10.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	9.4%	6.6%	.0%	12.5%	8.0%	
	% of Total	4.2%	3.0%	.0%	.8%	8.0%	
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	



Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation


			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	99	11	5	6	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	81.8%	9.1%	4.1%	5.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	18.3%	2.0%	13.2%	7.5%	9.9%
		% of Total	8.1%	.9%	.4%	.5%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	133	30	7	8	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	74.7%	16.9%	3.9%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	24.5%	5.3%	18.4%	10.0%	14.6%
		% of Total	10.9%	2.5%	.6%	.7%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	116	52	4	12	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	63.0%	28.3%	2.2%	6.5%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	21.4%	9.3%	10.5%	15.0%	15.1%
		% of Total	9.5%	4.3%	.3%	1.0%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	170	456	22	49	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	24.4%	65.4%	3.2%	7.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	31.4%	81.3%	57.9%	61.3%	57.1%
		% of Total	13.9%	37.3%	1.8%	4.0%	57.1%
don't know	Count	24	12	0	5	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	58.5%	29.3%	.0%	12.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	4.4%	2.1%	.0%	6.3%	3.4%	
	% of Total	2.0%	1.0%	.0%	.4%	3.4%	
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	



Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation


		Q2. Registration				Total	
		Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration		
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	209	25	9	12	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	82.0%	9.8%	3.5%	4.7%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	38.6%	4.5%	23.7%	15.0%	20.9%
		% of Total	17.1%	2.0%	.7%	1.0%	20.9%
Less likely	Count	233	495	24	53	805	
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	28.9%	61.5%	3.0%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	43.0%	88.2%	63.2%	66.3%	65.9%
		% of Total	19.1%	40.5%	2.0%	4.3%	65.9%
Don't know	Count	100	41	5	15	161	
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	62.1%	25.5%	3.1%	9.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	18.5%	7.3%	13.2%	18.8%	13.2%
		% of Total	8.2%	3.4%	.4%	1.2%	13.2%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%

1.




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q2. Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2. Registration				Total
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	145	344	14	34	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	27.0%	64.1%	2.6%	6.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	26.8%	61.3%	36.8%	42.5%	44.0%
		% of Total	11.9%	28.2%	1.1%	2.8%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	163	129	17	18	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	49.8%	39.4%	5.2%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	30.1%	23.0%	44.7%	22.5%	26.8%
		% of Total	13.3%	10.6%	1.4%	1.5%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	234	58	7	23	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	72.7%	18.0%	2.2%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	43.2%	10.3%	18.4%	28.8%	26.4%
		% of Total	19.2%	4.8%	.6%	1.9%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	0	30	0	5	35
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	.0%	85.7%	.0%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2. Registration	.0%	5.3%	.0%	6.3%	2.9%
		% of Total	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.4%	2.9%
Total	Count	542	561	38	80	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q2. Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	44.4%	45.9%	3.1%	6.6%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	301	243	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	55.3%	44.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	50.3%	39.0%	44.6%
		% of Total	24.7%	19.9%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	256	323	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	44.2%	55.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	42.8%	51.8%	47.4%
		% of Total	21.0%	26.5%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	41	57	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	41.8%	58.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	6.9%	9.1%	8.0%
		% of Total	3.4%	4.7%	8.0%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	124	114	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	52.1%	47.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	20.7%	18.3%	19.5%
		% of Total	10.2%	9.3%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	432	415	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	51.0%	49.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	72.2%	66.6%	69.4%
		% of Total	35.4%	34.0%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	42	94	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	30.9%	69.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	7.0%	15.1%	11.1%
		% of Total	3.4%	7.7%	11.1%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	96	135	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	41.7%	43.3%	42.6%
		% of Total	17.7%	24.9%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	95	113	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	45.7%	54.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	41.3%	36.2%	38.4%
		% of Total	17.5%	20.8%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	39	64	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	37.9%	62.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	17.0%	20.5%	19.0%
		% of Total	7.2%	11.8%	19.0%
Total	Count	230	312	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	42.4%	57.6%	100.0%	



Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation


			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Schwarzenegger	Count	236	200	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	54.1%	45.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	39.5%	32.1%	35.7%
		% of Total	19.3%	16.4%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	119	131	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	47.6%	52.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	19.9%	21.0%	20.5%
		% of Total	9.7%	10.7%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	235	269	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	46.6%	53.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	39.3%	43.2%	41.3%
		% of Total	19.2%	22.0%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	8	23	31	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	25.8%	74.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	1.3%	3.7%	2.5%	
	% of Total	.7%	1.9%	2.5%	
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	



Q16. Governor vs. Legislature


Q17. Direction of state * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	171	161	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	51.5%	48.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	28.6%	25.8%	27.2%
		% of Total	14.0%	13.2%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	374	379	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	49.7%	50.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	62.5%	60.8%	61.7%
		% of Total	30.6%	31.0%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	53	83	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	39.0%	61.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	8.9%	13.3%	11.1%
		% of Total	4.3%	6.8%	11.1%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	309	219	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	58.5%	41.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	51.7%	35.2%	43.2%
		% of Total	25.3%	17.9%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	204	310	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	34.1%	49.8%	42.1%
		% of Total	16.7%	25.4%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	85	94	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	47.5%	52.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	14.2%	15.1%	14.7%
		% of Total	7.0%	7.7%	14.7%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	79	65	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	54.9%	45.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	13.2%	10.4%	11.8%
		% of Total	6.5%	5.3%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	95	148	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	39.1%	60.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	15.9%	23.8%	19.9%
		% of Total	7.8%	12.1%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	256	264	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	49.2%	50.8%	100.0%
% within Q3. Gender		42.8%	42.4%	42.6%	
% of Total		21.0%	21.6%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	59	58	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	50.4%	49.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	9.9%	9.3%	9.6%	
	% of Total	4.8%	4.8%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	75	45	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	62.5%	37.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	12.5%	7.2%	9.8%	
	% of Total	6.1%	3.7%	9.8%	
Other	Count	30	29	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	50.8%	49.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	5.0%	4.7%	4.8%	
	% of Total	2.5%	2.4%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	4	14	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	.7%	2.2%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.3%	1.1%	1.5%	
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	197	254	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	43.7%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	32.9%	40.8%	36.9%
		% of Total	16.1%	20.8%	36.9%
	No	Count	343	297	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	53.6%	46.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	57.4%	47.7%	52.4%
		% of Total	28.1%	24.3%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	58	72	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	44.6%	55.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	9.7%	11.6%	10.6%
		% of Total	4.8%	5.9%	10.6%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	80	111	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	13.4%	17.8%	15.6%
		% of Total	6.6%	9.1%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	109	119	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	18.2%	19.1%	18.7%
		% of Total	8.9%	9.7%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	98	87	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	53.0%	47.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	16.4%	14.0%	15.2%
		% of Total	8.0%	7.1%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	266	253	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	51.3%	48.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	44.5%	40.6%	42.5%
		% of Total	21.8%	20.7%	42.5%
don't know	Count	45	53	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	45.9%	54.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	7.5%	8.5%	8.0%	
	% of Total	3.7%	4.3%	8.0%	
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	59	62	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	48.8%	51.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	9.9%	10.0%	9.9%
		% of Total	4.8%	5.1%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	81	97	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	13.5%	15.6%	14.6%
		% of Total	6.6%	7.9%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	83	101	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	45.1%	54.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	13.9%	16.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	6.8%	8.3%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	361	336	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	51.8%	48.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	60.4%	53.9%	57.1%
% of Total		29.6%	27.5%	57.1%	
don't know	Count	14	27	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	2.3%	4.3%	3.4%	
	% of Total	1.1%	2.2%	3.4%	
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	119	136	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	46.7%	53.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	19.9%	21.8%	20.9%
		% of Total	9.7%	11.1%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	401	404	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	49.8%	50.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	67.1%	64.8%	65.9%
		% of Total	32.8%	33.1%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	78	83	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	48.4%	51.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	13.0%	13.3%	13.2%
		% of Total	6.4%	6.8%	13.2%
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q3. Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3. Gender		Total
			Male	Female	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	273	264	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	50.8%	49.2%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	45.7%	42.4%	44.0%
		% of Total	22.4%	21.6%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	144	183	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	44.0%	56.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	24.1%	29.4%	26.8%
		% of Total	11.8%	15.0%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	161	161	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	50.0%	50.0%	100.0%
		% within Q3. Gender	26.9%	25.8%	26.4%
		% of Total	13.2%	13.2%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	20	15	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	57.1%	42.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	3.3%	2.4%	2.9%	
	% of Total	1.6%	1.2%	2.9%	
Total	Count	598	623	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q3. Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	49.0%	51.0%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	21	137	149	237	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	3.9%	25.2%	27.4%	43.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	37.5%	38.1%	37.4%	58.2%	44.6%
		% of Total	1.7%	11.2%	12.2%	19.4%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	29	192	227	131	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	5.0%	33.2%	39.2%	22.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	51.8%	53.3%	57.0%	32.2%	47.4%
		% of Total	2.4%	15.7%	18.6%	10.7%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	31	22	39	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	6.1%	31.6%	22.4%	39.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	10.7%	8.6%	5.5%	9.6%	8.0%
		% of Total	.5%	2.5%	1.8%	3.2%	8.0%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	15	80	79	64	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	6.3%	33.6%	33.2%	26.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	26.8%	22.2%	19.8%	15.7%	19.5%
		% of Total	1.2%	6.6%	6.5%	5.2%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	32	229	280	306	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	3.8%	27.0%	33.1%	36.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	57.1%	63.6%	70.4%	75.2%	69.4%
		% of Total	2.6%	18.8%	22.9%	25.1%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	9	51	39	37	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	6.6%	37.5%	28.7%	27.2%	100.0%
% within Q4. Age		16.1%	14.2%	9.8%	9.1%	11.1%	
% of Total		.7%	4.2%	3.2%	3.0%	11.1%	
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	8	78	93	52	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	3.5%	33.8%	40.3%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	30.8%	44.3%	40.3%	47.7%	42.6%
		% of Total	1.5%	14.4%	17.2%	9.6%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	11	68	93	36	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	5.3%	32.7%	44.7%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	42.3%	38.6%	40.3%	33.0%	38.4%
		% of Total	2.0%	12.5%	17.2%	6.6%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	7	30	45	21	103
% within Q15. Democratic candidates		6.8%	29.1%	43.7%	20.4%	100.0%	
% within Q4. Age		26.9%	17.0%	19.5%	19.3%	19.0%	
% of Total		1.3%	5.5%	8.3%	3.9%	19.0%	
Total	Count	26	176	231	109	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	4.8%	32.5%	42.6%	20.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.8%	32.5%	42.6%	20.1%	100.0%	



Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q4. Age Crosstabulation


		Q4. Age				Total	
		18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older		
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzennege	Count	17	123	122	174	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	3.9%	28.2%	28.0%	39.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	30.4%	34.2%	30.7%	42.8%	35.7%
		% of Total	1.4%	10.1%	10.0%	14.3%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	14	96	91	49	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	5.6%	38.4%	36.4%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	25.0%	26.7%	22.9%	12.0%	20.5%
		% of Total	1.1%	7.9%	7.5%	4.0%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	25	130	176	173	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	5.0%	25.8%	34.9%	34.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	44.6%	36.1%	44.2%	42.5%	41.3%
		% of Total	2.0%	10.6%	14.4%	14.2%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	0	11	9	11	31	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	.0%	35.5%	29.0%	35.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	.0%	3.1%	2.3%	2.7%	2.5%	
	% of Total	.0%	.9%	.7%	.9%	2.5%	
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	



Q16. Governor vs. Legislature


Q17. Direction of state * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	15	88	98	131	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	4.5%	26.5%	29.5%	39.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	26.8%	24.4%	24.6%	32.2%	27.2%
		% of Total	1.2%	7.2%	8.0%	10.7%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	32	230	268	223	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	4.2%	30.5%	35.6%	29.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	57.1%	63.9%	67.3%	54.8%	61.7%
		% of Total	2.6%	18.8%	21.9%	18.3%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	9	42	32	53	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	6.6%	30.9%	23.5%	39.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	16.1%	11.7%	8.0%	13.0%	11.1%
		% of Total	.7%	3.4%	2.6%	4.3%	11.1%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	17	139	171	201	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	3.2%	26.3%	32.4%	38.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	30.4%	38.6%	43.0%	49.4%	43.2%
		% of Total	1.4%	11.4%	14.0%	16.5%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	30	170	180	134	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	5.8%	33.1%	35.0%	26.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	53.6%	47.2%	45.2%	32.9%	42.1%
		% of Total	2.5%	13.9%	14.7%	11.0%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	9	51	47	72	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	5.0%	28.5%	26.3%	40.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	16.1%	14.2%	11.8%	17.7%	14.7%
		% of Total	.7%	4.2%	3.8%	5.9%	14.7%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	



Q19. Most important issue * Q4. Age Crosstabulation


			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	15	45	39	45	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	10.4%	31.3%	27.1%	31.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	26.8%	12.5%	9.8%	11.1%	11.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	3.7%	3.2%	3.7%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	18	91	80	54	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	7.4%	37.4%	32.9%	22.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	32.1%	25.3%	20.1%	13.3%	19.9%
		% of Total	1.5%	7.5%	6.6%	4.4%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	15	119	162	224	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	2.9%	22.9%	31.2%	43.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	26.8%	33.1%	40.7%	55.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	1.2%	9.7%	13.3%	18.3%	42.6%
Economy	Count	1	37	45	34	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	.9%	31.6%	38.5%	29.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	1.8%	10.3%	11.3%	8.4%	9.6%	
	% of Total	.1%	3.0%	3.7%	2.8%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	6	44	42	28	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	5.0%	36.7%	35.0%	23.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	10.7%	12.2%	10.6%	6.9%	9.8%	
	% of Total	.5%	3.6%	3.4%	2.3%	9.8%	
Other	Count	0	20	26	13	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	.0%	33.9%	44.1%	22.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	.0%	5.6%	6.5%	3.2%	4.8%	
	% of Total	.0%	1.6%	2.1%	1.1%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	1	4	4	9	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	50.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	1.8%	1.1%	1.0%	2.2%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.1%	.3%	.3%	.7%	1.5%	
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	



Q19. Most important issue


Q20. Proposition 82 * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	26	143	174	108	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	5.8%	31.7%	38.6%	23.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	46.4%	39.7%	43.7%	26.5%	36.9%
		% of Total	2.1%	11.7%	14.3%	8.8%	36.9%
	No	Count	26	173	189	252	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	4.1%	27.0%	29.5%	39.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	46.4%	48.1%	47.5%	61.9%	52.4%
		% of Total	2.1%	14.2%	15.5%	20.6%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	44	35	47	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	3.1%	33.8%	26.9%	36.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	7.1%	12.2%	8.8%	11.5%	10.6%
		% of Total	.3%	3.6%	2.9%	3.8%	10.6%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	16	74	65	36	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	8.4%	38.7%	34.0%	18.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	28.6%	20.6%	16.3%	8.8%	15.6%
		% of Total	1.3%	6.1%	5.3%	2.9%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	14	74	75	65	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	6.1%	32.5%	32.9%	28.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	25.0%	20.6%	18.8%	16.0%	18.7%
		% of Total	1.1%	6.1%	6.1%	5.3%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	5	45	53	82	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	2.7%	24.3%	28.6%	44.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	8.9%	12.5%	13.3%	20.1%	15.2%
		% of Total	.4%	3.7%	4.3%	6.7%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	15	142	183	179	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	2.9%	27.4%	35.3%	34.5%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	26.8%	39.4%	46.0%	44.0%	42.5%
		% of Total	1.2%	11.6%	15.0%	14.7%	42.5%
don't know	Count	6	25	22	45	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	6.1%	25.5%	22.4%	45.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	10.7%	6.9%	5.5%	11.1%	8.0%	
	% of Total	.5%	2.0%	1.8%	3.7%	8.0%	
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	11	46	46	18	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	9.1%	38.0%	38.0%	14.9%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	19.6%	12.8%	11.6%	4.4%	9.9%
		% of Total	.9%	3.8%	3.8%	1.5%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	9	76	58	35	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	5.1%	42.7%	32.6%	19.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	16.1%	21.1%	14.6%	8.6%	14.6%
		% of Total	.7%	6.2%	4.8%	2.9%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	14	45	55	70	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	7.6%	24.5%	29.9%	38.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	25.0%	12.5%	13.8%	17.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	1.1%	3.7%	4.5%	5.7%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	18	186	221	272	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	2.6%	26.7%	31.7%	39.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	32.1%	51.7%	55.5%	66.8%	57.1%
		% of Total	1.5%	15.2%	18.1%	22.3%	57.1%
	don't know	Count	4	7	18	12	41
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	9.8%	17.1%	43.9%	29.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	7.1%	1.9%	4.5%	2.9%	3.4%
		% of Total	.3%	.6%	1.5%	1.0%	3.4%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q4. Age Crosstabulation

			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	17	92	100	46	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	6.7%	36.1%	39.2%	18.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	30.4%	25.6%	25.1%	11.3%	20.9%
		% of Total	1.4%	7.5%	8.2%	3.8%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	31	223	250	301	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	3.9%	27.7%	31.1%	37.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	55.4%	61.9%	62.8%	74.0%	65.9%
		% of Total	2.5%	18.3%	20.5%	24.7%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	8	45	48	60	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	5.0%	28.0%	29.8%	37.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	14.3%	12.5%	12.1%	14.7%	13.2%
		% of Total	.7%	3.7%	3.9%	4.9%	13.2%
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	



Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q4. Age Crosstabulation


			Q4. Age				Total
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	19	149	167	202	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	3.5%	27.7%	31.1%	37.6%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	33.9%	41.4%	42.0%	49.6%	44.0%
		% of Total	1.6%	12.2%	13.7%	16.5%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	19	99	107	102	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	5.8%	30.3%	32.7%	31.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	33.9%	27.5%	26.9%	25.1%	26.8%
		% of Total	1.6%	8.1%	8.8%	8.4%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	17	107	120	78	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	5.3%	33.2%	37.3%	24.2%	100.0%
		% within Q4. Age	30.4%	29.7%	30.2%	19.2%	26.4%
		% of Total	1.4%	8.8%	9.8%	6.4%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	1	5	4	25	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	2.9%	14.3%	11.4%	71.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	1.8%	1.4%	1.0%	6.1%	2.9%	
	% of Total	.1%	.4%	.3%	2.0%	2.9%	
Total	Count	56	360	398	407	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q4. Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	4.6%	29.5%	32.6%	33.3%	100.0%	



Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor


Q13. Governor approval * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	73	184	287	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	13.4%	33.8%	52.8%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	49.7%	49.5%	40.9%	44.6%
		% of Total	6.0%	15.1%	23.5%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	64	159	356	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	11.1%	27.5%	61.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	43.5%	42.7%	50.7%	47.4%
		% of Total	5.2%	13.0%	29.2%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	10	29	59	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	10.2%	29.6%	60.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	6.8%	7.8%	8.4%	8.0%
		% of Total	.8%	2.4%	4.8%	8.0%
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	21	56	161	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	8.8%	23.5%	67.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	14.3%	15.1%	22.9%	19.5%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.6%	13.2%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	104	276	467	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	12.3%	32.6%	55.1%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	70.7%	74.2%	66.5%	69.4%
		% of Total	8.5%	22.6%	38.2%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	22	40	74	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	16.2%	29.4%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	15.0%	10.8%	10.5%	11.1%
		% of Total	1.8%	3.3%	6.1%	11.1%
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	24	56	151	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	10.4%	24.2%	65.4%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	38.1%	40.0%	44.5%	42.6%
		% of Total	4.4%	10.3%	27.9%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	22	54	132	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	10.6%	26.0%	63.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	34.9%	38.6%	38.9%	38.4%
		% of Total	4.1%	10.0%	24.4%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	17	30	56	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	16.5%	29.1%	54.4%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	27.0%	21.4%	16.5%	19.0%
		% of Total	3.1%	5.5%	10.3%	19.0%
Total	Count	63	140	339	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	11.6%	25.8%	62.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	11.6%	25.8%	62.5%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzenegger	Count	52	146	238	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	11.9%	33.5%	54.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	35.4%	39.2%	33.9%	35.7%
		% of Total	4.3%	12.0%	19.5%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	24	61	165	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	9.6%	24.4%	66.0%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	16.3%	16.4%	23.5%	20.5%
		% of Total	2.0%	5.0%	13.5%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	64	154	286	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	12.7%	30.6%	56.7%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	43.5%	41.4%	40.7%	41.3%
		% of Total	5.2%	12.6%	23.4%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	7	11	13	31	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	22.6%	35.5%	41.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	4.8%	3.0%	1.9%	2.5%	
	% of Total	.6%	.9%	1.1%	2.5%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	47	105	180	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	14.2%	31.6%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	32.0%	28.2%	25.6%	27.2%
		% of Total	3.8%	8.6%	14.7%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	79	225	449	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	10.5%	29.9%	59.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	53.7%	60.5%	64.0%	61.7%
		% of Total	6.5%	18.4%	36.8%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	21	42	73	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	15.4%	30.9%	53.7%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	14.3%	11.3%	10.4%	11.1%
		% of Total	1.7%	3.4%	6.0%	11.1%
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	65	147	316	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	12.3%	27.8%	59.8%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	44.2%	39.5%	45.0%	43.2%
		% of Total	5.3%	12.0%	25.9%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	61	169	284	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	11.9%	32.9%	55.3%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	41.5%	45.4%	40.5%	42.1%
		% of Total	5.0%	13.8%	23.3%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	21	56	102	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	11.7%	31.3%	57.0%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	14.3%	15.1%	14.5%	14.7%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.6%	8.4%	14.7%
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	40	55	49	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	27.8%	38.2%	34.0%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	27.2%	14.8%	7.0%	11.8%
		% of Total	3.3%	4.5%	4.0%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	15	44	184	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	6.2%	18.1%	75.7%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	10.2%	11.8%	26.2%	19.9%
		% of Total	1.2%	3.6%	15.1%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	60	199	261	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	11.5%	38.3%	50.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	40.8%	53.5%	37.2%	42.6%
		% of Total	4.9%	16.3%	21.4%	42.6%
Economy	Count	15	24	78	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	12.8%	20.5%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	10.2%	6.5%	11.1%	9.6%	
	% of Total	1.2%	2.0%	6.4%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	6	29	85	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	5.0%	24.2%	70.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	4.1%	7.8%	12.1%	9.8%	
	% of Total	.5%	2.4%	7.0%	9.8%	
Other	Count	6	14	39	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	10.2%	23.7%	66.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	4.1%	3.8%	5.6%	4.8%	
	% of Total	.5%	1.1%	3.2%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	5	7	6	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	27.8%	38.9%	33.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	3.4%	1.9%	.9%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.4%	.6%	.5%	1.5%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	33	128	290	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	7.3%	28.4%	64.3%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	22.4%	34.4%	41.3%	36.9%
		% of Total	2.7%	10.5%	23.8%	36.9%
	No	Count	95	198	347	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	14.8%	30.9%	54.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	64.6%	53.2%	49.4%	52.4%
		% of Total	7.8%	16.2%	28.4%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	19	46	65	130
% within Q20. Proposition 82		14.6%	35.4%	50.0%	100.0%	
% within Q5. Education		12.9%	12.4%	9.3%	10.6%	
% of Total		1.6%	3.8%	5.3%	10.6%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	28	42	121	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	14.7%	22.0%	63.4%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	19.0%	11.3%	17.2%	15.6%
		% of Total	2.3%	3.4%	9.9%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	25	69	134	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	11.0%	30.3%	58.8%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	17.0%	18.5%	19.1%	18.7%
		% of Total	2.0%	5.7%	11.0%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	28	47	110	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	15.1%	25.4%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	19.0%	12.6%	15.7%	15.2%
		% of Total	2.3%	3.8%	9.0%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	51	185	283	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	9.8%	35.6%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	34.7%	49.7%	40.3%	42.5%
% of Total		4.2%	15.2%	23.2%	42.5%	
don't know	Count	15	29	54	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	15.3%	29.6%	55.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	10.2%	7.8%	7.7%	8.0%	
	% of Total	1.2%	2.4%	4.4%	8.0%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	20	27	74	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	16.5%	22.3%	61.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	13.6%	7.3%	10.5%	9.9%
		% of Total	1.6%	2.2%	6.1%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	21	45	112	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	11.8%	25.3%	62.9%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	14.3%	12.1%	16.0%	14.6%
		% of Total	1.7%	3.7%	9.2%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	23	46	115	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	12.5%	25.0%	62.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	15.6%	12.4%	16.4%	15.1%
		% of Total	1.9%	3.8%	9.4%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	77	243	377	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	11.0%	34.9%	54.1%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	52.4%	65.3%	53.7%	57.1%
% of Total		6.3%	19.9%	30.9%	57.1%	
don't know	Count	6	11	24	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	14.6%	26.8%	58.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	4.1%	3.0%	3.4%	3.4%	
	% of Total	.5%	.9%	2.0%	3.4%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	25	50	180	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	9.8%	19.6%	70.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	17.0%	13.4%	25.6%	20.9%
		% of Total	2.0%	4.1%	14.7%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	101	273	431	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	12.5%	33.9%	53.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	68.7%	73.4%	61.4%	65.9%
		% of Total	8.3%	22.4%	35.3%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	21	49	91	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	13.0%	30.4%	56.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	14.3%	13.2%	13.0%	13.2%
		% of Total	1.7%	4.0%	7.5%	13.2%
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q5. Education Crosstabulation

			Q5. Education			Total
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	87	204	246	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	16.2%	38.0%	45.8%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	59.2%	54.8%	35.0%	44.0%
		% of Total	7.1%	16.7%	20.1%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	36	86	205	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	11.0%	26.3%	62.7%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	24.5%	23.1%	29.2%	26.8%
		% of Total	2.9%	7.0%	16.8%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	17	68	237	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	5.3%	21.1%	73.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5. Education	11.6%	18.3%	33.8%	26.4%
		% of Total	1.4%	5.6%	19.4%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	7	14	14	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	20.0%	40.0%	40.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	4.8%	3.8%	2.0%	2.9%	
	% of Total	.6%	1.1%	1.1%	2.9%	
Total	Count	147	372	702	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q5. Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	12.0%	30.5%	57.5%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	128	416	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	33.2%	49.8%	44.6%
		% of Total	10.5%	34.1%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	232	347	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	40.1%	59.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	60.1%	41.6%	47.4%
		% of Total	19.0%	28.4%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	26	72	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	26.5%	73.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	6.7%	8.6%	8.0%
		% of Total	2.1%	5.9%	8.0%
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	92	146	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	38.7%	61.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	23.8%	17.5%	19.5%
		% of Total	7.5%	12.0%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	242	605	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	62.7%	72.5%	69.4%
		% of Total	19.8%	49.5%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	52	84	136
% within Q14 Legislature approval		38.2%	61.8%	100.0%	
% within Q6. Member of labor union		13.5%	10.1%	11.1%	
% of Total		4.3%	6.9%	11.1%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	105	126	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	45.5%	54.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	46.9%	39.6%	42.6%
		% of Total	19.4%	23.2%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	82	126	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	36.6%	39.6%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	37	66	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	35.9%	64.1%	100.0%
% within Q6. Member of labor union		16.5%	20.8%	19.0%	
% of Total		6.8%	12.2%	19.0%	
Total	Count	224	318	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	41.3%	58.7%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzenegger	Count	99	337	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	22.7%	77.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	25.6%	40.4%	35.7%
		% of Total	8.1%	27.6%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	104	146	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	41.6%	58.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	26.9%	17.5%	20.5%
		% of Total	8.5%	12.0%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	170	334	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	33.7%	66.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	44.0%	40.0%	41.3%
		% of Total	13.9%	27.4%	41.3%
	Don't know	Count	13	18	31
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	3.4%	2.2%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.1%	1.5%	2.5%
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	99	233	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	29.8%	70.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	25.6%	27.9%	27.2%
		% of Total	8.1%	19.1%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	254	499	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	33.7%	66.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	65.8%	59.8%	61.7%
		% of Total	20.8%	40.9%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	33	103	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	24.3%	75.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	8.5%	12.3%	11.1%
		% of Total	2.7%	8.4%	11.1%
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	144	384	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	27.3%	72.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	37.3%	46.0%	43.2%
		% of Total	11.8%	31.4%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	185	329	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	36.0%	64.0%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	47.9%	39.4%	42.1%
		% of Total	15.2%	26.9%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	57	122	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	31.8%	68.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	14.8%	14.6%	14.7%
		% of Total	4.7%	10.0%	14.7%
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	45	99	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	31.3%	68.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	11.7%	11.9%	11.8%
		% of Total	3.7%	8.1%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	97	146	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	39.9%	60.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	25.1%	17.5%	19.9%
		% of Total	7.9%	12.0%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	151	369	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	29.0%	71.0%	100.0%
% within Q6. Member of labor union		39.1%	44.2%	42.6%	
% of Total		12.4%	30.2%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	38	79	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	32.5%	67.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	9.8%	9.5%	9.6%	
	% of Total	3.1%	6.5%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	27	93	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	22.5%	77.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	7.0%	11.1%	9.8%	
	% of Total	2.2%	7.6%	9.8%	
Other	Count	22	37	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	37.3%	62.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	5.7%	4.4%	4.8%	
	% of Total	1.8%	3.0%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	6	12	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	1.6%	1.4%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.5%	1.0%	1.5%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	179	272	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	39.7%	60.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	46.4%	32.6%	36.9%
		% of Total	14.7%	22.3%	36.9%
	No	Count	168	472	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	26.3%	73.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	43.5%	56.5%	52.4%
		% of Total	13.8%	38.7%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	39	91	130
% within Q20. Proposition 82		30.0%	70.0%	100.0%	
% within Q6. Member of labor union		10.1%	10.9%	10.6%	
% of Total		3.2%	7.5%	10.6%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	80	111	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	41.9%	58.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	20.7%	13.3%	15.6%
		% of Total	6.6%	9.1%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	78	150	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	34.2%	65.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	20.2%	18.0%	18.7%
		% of Total	6.4%	12.3%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	53	132	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	28.6%	71.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	13.7%	15.8%	15.2%
		% of Total	4.3%	10.8%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	148	371	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	28.5%	71.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	38.3%	44.4%	42.5%
% of Total		12.1%	30.4%	42.5%	
don't know	Count	27	71	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	27.6%	72.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	7.0%	8.5%	8.0%	
	% of Total	2.2%	5.8%	8.0%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	49	72	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	40.5%	59.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	12.7%	8.6%	9.9%
		% of Total	4.0%	5.9%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	67	111	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	37.6%	62.4%	100.0%
% within Q6. Member of labor union		17.4%	13.3%	14.6%	
Somewhat disapprove	Count	61	123	184	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	33.2%	66.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	15.8%	14.7%	15.1%	
Strongly disapprove	Count	195	502	697	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	28.0%	72.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	50.5%	60.1%	57.1%	
don't know	Count	14	27	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	34.1%	65.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%	
	% of Total	1.1%	2.2%	3.4%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	101	154	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	39.6%	60.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	26.2%	18.4%	20.9%
		% of Total	8.3%	12.6%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	224	581	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	27.8%	72.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	58.0%	69.6%	65.9%
		% of Total	18.3%	47.6%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	61	100	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	37.9%	62.1%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	15.8%	12.0%	13.2%
		% of Total	5.0%	8.2%	13.2%
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q6. Member of labor union Crosstabulation

			Q6. Member of labor union		Total
			Yes	No	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	157	380	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	29.2%	70.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	40.7%	45.5%	44.0%
		% of Total	12.9%	31.1%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	107	220	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	32.7%	67.3%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	27.7%	26.3%	26.8%
		% of Total	8.8%	18.0%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	113	209	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	35.1%	64.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6. Member of labor union	29.3%	25.0%	26.4%
		% of Total	9.3%	17.1%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	9	26	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	25.7%	74.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	2.3%	3.1%	2.9%	
	% of Total	.7%	2.1%	2.9%	
Total	Count	386	835	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q6. Member of labor union	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	31.6%	68.4%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

		Q7. Ethnic group				Total	
		White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other		
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	489	31	4	20	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	89.9%	5.7%	.7%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	47.9%	27.4%	14.3%	33.3%	44.6%
		% of Total	40.0%	2.5%	.3%	1.6%	44.6%
Disapprove	Count	451	73	21	34	579	
		% within Q13. Governor approval	77.9%	12.6%	3.6%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	44.2%	64.6%	75.0%	56.7%	47.4%
		% of Total	36.9%	6.0%	1.7%	2.8%	47.4%
Don't know	Count	80	9	3	6	98	
		% within Q13. Governor approval	81.6%	9.2%	3.1%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	7.8%	8.0%	10.7%	10.0%	8.0%
		% of Total	6.6%	.7%	.2%	.5%	8.0%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
		% within Q13. Governor approval	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%




Q14 Legislature approval * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

		Q7. Ethnic group				Total	
		White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other		
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	191	28	6	13	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	80.3%	11.8%	2.5%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	18.7%	24.8%	21.4%	21.7%	19.5%
		% of Total	15.6%	2.3%	.5%	1.1%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	723	68	20	36	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	85.4%	8.0%	2.4%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	70.9%	60.2%	71.4%	60.0%	69.4%
		% of Total	59.2%	5.6%	1.6%	2.9%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	106	17	2	11	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	77.9%	12.5%	1.5%	8.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	10.4%	15.0%	7.1%	18.3%	11.1%
		% of Total	8.7%	1.4%	.2%	.9%	11.1%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	



Q15. Democratic candidates * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation


			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	182	25	8	16	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	78.8%	10.8%	3.5%	6.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	43.8%	36.8%	36.4%	44.4%	42.6%
		% of Total	33.6%	4.6%	1.5%	3.0%	42.6%
State Controller Steve Westly	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	159	28	7	14	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	76.4%	13.5%	3.4%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	38.2%	41.2%	31.8%	38.9%	38.4%
		% of Total	29.3%	5.2%	1.3%	2.6%	38.4%
Undecided/Don't know	Undecided/Don't know	Count	75	15	7	6	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	72.8%	14.6%	6.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	18.0%	22.1%	31.8%	16.7%	19.0%
		% of Total	13.8%	2.8%	1.3%	1.1%	19.0%
Total	Total	Count	416	68	22	36	542
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	76.8%	12.5%	4.1%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	76.8%	12.5%	4.1%	6.6%	100.0%



Q15. Democratic candidates


Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

		Q7. Ethnic group				Total	
		White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other		
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzenneger	Count	386	30	4	16	436
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature		88.5%	6.9%	.9%	3.7%	100.0%
	% within Q7. Ethnic group		37.8%	26.5%	14.3%	26.7%	35.7%
	% of Total		31.6%	2.5%	.3%	1.3%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	199	29	6	16	250
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature		79.6%	11.6%	2.4%	6.4%	100.0%
	% within Q7. Ethnic group		19.5%	25.7%	21.4%	26.7%	20.5%
	% of Total		16.3%	2.4%	.5%	1.3%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	414	47	16	27	504
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature		82.1%	9.3%	3.2%	5.4%	100.0%
	% within Q7. Ethnic group		40.6%	41.6%	57.1%	45.0%	41.3%
	% of Total		33.9%	3.8%	1.3%	2.2%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	21	7	2	1	31	
% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature		67.7%	22.6%	6.5%	3.2%	100.0%	
% within Q7. Ethnic group		2.1%	6.2%	7.1%	1.7%	2.5%	
% of Total		1.7%	.6%	.2%	.1%	2.5%	
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature		83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%
	% within Q7. Ethnic group		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total		83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%




Q17. Direction of state * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

		Q7. Ethnic group				Total	
		White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other		
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	285	26	7	14	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	85.8%	7.8%	2.1%	4.2%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	27.9%	23.0%	25.0%	23.3%	27.2%
		% of Total	23.3%	2.1%	.6%	1.1%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	624	69	20	40	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	82.9%	9.2%	2.7%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	61.2%	61.1%	71.4%	66.7%	61.7%
		% of Total	51.1%	5.7%	1.6%	3.3%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	111	18	1	6	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	81.6%	13.2%	.7%	4.4%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	10.9%	15.9%	3.6%	10.0%	11.1%
		% of Total	9.1%	1.5%	.1%	.5%	11.1%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

		Q7. Ethnic group				Total	
		White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other		
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	464	37	10	17	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	87.9%	7.0%	1.9%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	45.5%	32.7%	35.7%	28.3%	43.2%
		% of Total	38.0%	3.0%	.8%	1.4%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	405	64	13	32	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	78.8%	12.5%	2.5%	6.2%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	39.7%	56.6%	46.4%	53.3%	42.1%
		% of Total	33.2%	5.2%	1.1%	2.6%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	151	12	5	11	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	84.4%	6.7%	2.8%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	14.8%	10.6%	17.9%	18.3%	14.7%
		% of Total	12.4%	1.0%	.4%	.9%	14.7%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	105	24	5	10	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	72.9%	16.7%	3.5%	6.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	10.3%	21.2%	17.9%	16.7%	11.8%
		% of Total	8.6%	2.0%	.4%	.8%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	193	28	6	16	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	79.4%	11.5%	2.5%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	18.9%	24.8%	21.4%	26.7%	19.9%
		% of Total	15.8%	2.3%	.5%	1.3%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	470	30	5	15	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	90.4%	5.8%	1.0%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	46.1%	26.5%	17.9%	25.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	38.5%	2.5%	.4%	1.2%	42.6%
Economy	Count	95	12	2	8	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	81.2%	10.3%	1.7%	6.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	9.3%	10.6%	7.1%	13.3%	9.6%	
	% of Total	7.8%	1.0%	.2%	.7%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	97	8	4	11	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	80.8%	6.7%	3.3%	9.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	9.5%	7.1%	14.3%	18.3%	9.8%	
	% of Total	7.9%	.7%	.3%	.9%	9.8%	
Other	Count	48	6	5	0	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	81.4%	10.2%	8.5%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	4.7%	5.3%	17.9%	.0%	4.8%	
	% of Total	3.9%	.5%	.4%	.0%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	12	5	1	0	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	66.7%	27.8%	5.6%	.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	1.2%	4.4%	3.6%	.0%	1.5%	
	% of Total	1.0%	.4%	.1%	.0%	1.5%	
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	365	46	15	25	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	80.9%	10.2%	3.3%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	35.8%	40.7%	53.6%	41.7%	36.9%
		% of Total	29.9%	3.8%	1.2%	2.0%	36.9%
	No	Count	556	51	8	25	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	86.9%	8.0%	1.3%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	54.5%	45.1%	28.6%	41.7%	52.4%
		% of Total	45.5%	4.2%	.7%	2.0%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	99	16	5	10	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	76.2%	12.3%	3.8%	7.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	9.7%	14.2%	17.9%	16.7%	10.6%
		% of Total	8.1%	1.3%	.4%	.8%	10.6%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/l atino	Black/ African-American	Asian/other	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	132	44	6	9	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	69.1%	23.0%	3.1%	4.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	12.9%	38.9%	21.4%	15.0%	15.6%
		% of Total	10.8%	3.6%	.5%	.7%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	181	26	9	12	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	79.4%	11.4%	3.9%	5.3%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	17.7%	23.0%	32.1%	20.0%	18.7%
		% of Total	14.8%	2.1%	.7%	1.0%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	160	14	2	9	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	86.5%	7.6%	1.1%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	15.7%	12.4%	7.1%	15.0%	15.2%
		% of Total	13.1%	1.1%	.2%	.7%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	463	24	8	24	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	89.2%	4.6%	1.5%	4.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	45.4%	21.2%	28.6%	40.0%	42.5%
		% of Total	37.9%	2.0%	.7%	2.0%	42.5%
	don't know	Count	84	5	3	6	98
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	85.7%	5.1%	3.1%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	8.2%	4.4%	10.7%	10.0%	8.0%
		% of Total	6.9%	.4%	.2%	.5%	8.0%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	83	30	1	7	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	68.6%	24.8%	.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	8.1%	26.5%	3.6%	11.7%	9.9%
		% of Total	6.8%	2.5%	.1%	.6%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	140	23	7	8	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	78.7%	12.9%	3.9%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	13.7%	20.4%	25.0%	13.3%	14.6%
		% of Total	11.5%	1.9%	.6%	.7%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	148	16	4	16	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	80.4%	8.7%	2.2%	8.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	14.5%	14.2%	14.3%	26.7%	15.1%
		% of Total	12.1%	1.3%	.3%	1.3%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	620	39	12	26	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	89.0%	5.6%	1.7%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	60.8%	34.5%	42.9%	43.3%	57.1%
		% of Total	50.8%	3.2%	1.0%	2.1%	57.1%
don't know	Count	29	5	4	3	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	70.7%	12.2%	9.8%	7.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	2.8%	4.4%	14.3%	5.0%	3.4%	
	% of Total	2.4%	.4%	.3%	.2%	3.4%	
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	189	48	4	14	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	74.1%	18.8%	1.6%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	18.5%	42.5%	14.3%	23.3%	20.9%
		% of Total	15.5%	3.9%	.3%	1.1%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	700	51	17	37	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	87.0%	6.3%	2.1%	4.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	68.6%	45.1%	60.7%	61.7%	65.9%
		% of Total	57.3%	4.2%	1.4%	3.0%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	131	14	7	9	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	81.4%	8.7%	4.3%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	12.8%	12.4%	25.0%	15.0%	13.2%
		% of Total	10.7%	1.1%	.6%	.7%	13.2%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q7. Ethnic group Crosstabulation

			Q7. Ethnic group				Total
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/African-American	Asian/other	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	443	59	11	24	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	82.5%	11.0%	2.0%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	43.4%	52.2%	39.3%	40.0%	44.0%
		% of Total	36.3%	4.8%	.9%	2.0%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	274	34	7	12	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	83.8%	10.4%	2.1%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	26.9%	30.1%	25.0%	20.0%	26.8%
		% of Total	22.4%	2.8%	.6%	1.0%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	271	18	10	23	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	84.2%	5.6%	3.1%	7.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	26.6%	15.9%	35.7%	38.3%	26.4%
		% of Total	22.2%	1.5%	.8%	1.9%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	32	2	0	1	35
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	91.4%	5.7%	.0%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q7. Ethnic group	3.1%	1.8%	.0%	1.7%	2.9%
		% of Total	2.6%	.2%	.0%	.1%	2.9%
Total	Count	1020	113	28	60	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q7. Ethnic group	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	83.5%	9.3%	2.3%	4.9%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	407	89	48	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	74.8%	16.4%	8.8%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	46.7%	43.0%	33.6%	44.6%
		% of Total	33.3%	7.3%	3.9%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	400	95	84	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	69.1%	16.4%	14.5%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	45.9%	45.9%	58.7%	47.4%
		% of Total	32.8%	7.8%	6.9%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	64	23	11	98
% within Q13. Governor approval		65.3%	23.5%	11.2%	100.0%	
% within Q8. Marital status		7.3%	11.1%	7.7%	8.0%	
% of Total		5.2%	1.9%	.9%	8.0%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	164	30	44	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	68.9%	12.6%	18.5%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	18.8%	14.5%	30.8%	19.5%
		% of Total	13.4%	2.5%	3.6%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	613	156	78	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	72.4%	18.4%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	70.4%	75.4%	54.5%	69.4%
		% of Total	50.2%	12.8%	6.4%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	94	21	21	136
% within Q14 Legislature approval		69.1%	15.4%	15.4%	100.0%	
% within Q8. Marital status		10.8%	10.1%	14.7%	11.1%	
% of Total		7.7%	1.7%	1.7%	11.1%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	163	38	30	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	70.6%	16.5%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	43.8%	42.7%	37.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	30.1%	7.0%	5.5%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	146	32	30	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	70.2%	15.4%	14.4%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	39.2%	36.0%	37.0%	38.4%
		% of Total	26.9%	5.9%	5.5%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	63	19	21	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	61.2%	18.4%	20.4%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	16.9%	21.3%	25.9%	19.0%
		% of Total	11.6%	3.5%	3.9%	19.0%
Total	Count	372	89	81	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	68.6%	16.4%	14.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	68.6%	16.4%	14.9%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzeneger	Count	341	60	35	436
		% within Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	78.2%	13.8%	8.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	39.2%	29.0%	24.5%	35.7%
		% of Total	27.9%	4.9%	2.9%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	168	36	46	250
		% within Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	67.2%	14.4%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	19.3%	17.4%	32.2%	20.5%
		% of Total	13.8%	2.9%	3.8%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	343	103	58	504
		% within Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	68.1%	20.4%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	39.4%	49.8%	40.6%	41.3%
		% of Total	28.1%	8.4%	4.8%	41.3%
	Dont know	Count	19	8	4	31
		% within Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	61.3%	25.8%	12.9%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	2.2%	3.9%	2.8%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.6%	.7%	.3%	2.5%
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q16. Goveom vs. Legislature	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	232	60	40	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	69.9%	18.1%	12.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	26.6%	29.0%	28.0%	27.2%
		% of Total	19.0%	4.9%	3.3%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	540	128	85	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	71.7%	17.0%	11.3%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	62.0%	61.8%	59.4%	61.7%
		% of Total	44.2%	10.5%	7.0%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	99	19	18	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	72.8%	14.0%	13.2%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	11.4%	9.2%	12.6%	11.1%
		% of Total	8.1%	1.6%	1.5%	11.1%
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	403	74	51	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	76.3%	14.0%	9.7%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	46.3%	35.7%	35.7%	43.2%
		% of Total	33.0%	6.1%	4.2%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	357	92	65	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	69.5%	17.9%	12.6%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	41.0%	44.4%	45.5%	42.1%
		% of Total	29.2%	7.5%	5.3%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	111	41	27	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	62.0%	22.9%	15.1%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	12.7%	19.8%	18.9%	14.7%
		% of Total	9.1%	3.4%	2.2%	14.7%
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	99	26	19	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	68.8%	18.1%	13.2%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	11.4%	12.6%	13.3%	11.8%
		% of Total	8.1%	2.1%	1.6%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	174	36	33	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	71.6%	14.8%	13.6%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	20.0%	17.4%	23.1%	19.9%
		% of Total	14.3%	2.9%	2.7%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	385	91	44	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	74.0%	17.5%	8.5%	100.0%
% within Q8. Marital status		44.2%	44.0%	30.8%	42.6%	
% of Total		31.5%	7.5%	3.6%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	74	27	16	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	63.2%	23.1%	13.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	8.5%	13.0%	11.2%	9.6%	
	% of Total	6.1%	2.2%	1.3%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	81	17	22	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	67.5%	14.2%	18.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	9.3%	8.2%	15.4%	9.8%	
	% of Total	6.6%	1.4%	1.8%	9.8%	
Other	Count	44	7	8	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	74.6%	11.9%	13.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	5.1%	3.4%	5.6%	4.8%	
	% of Total	3.6%	.6%	.7%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	14	3	1	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	77.8%	16.7%	5.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	1.6%	1.4%	.7%	1.5%	
	% of Total	1.1%	.2%	.1%	1.5%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	309	73	69	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	68.5%	16.2%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	35.5%	35.3%	48.3%	36.9%
		% of Total	25.3%	6.0%	5.7%	36.9%
	No	Count	481	103	56	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	75.2%	16.1%	8.8%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	55.2%	49.8%	39.2%	52.4%
		% of Total	39.4%	8.4%	4.6%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	81	31	18	130
% within Q20. Proposition 82		62.3%	23.8%	13.8%	100.0%	
% within Q8. Marital status		9.3%	15.0%	12.6%	10.6%	
% of Total		6.6%	2.5%	1.5%	10.6%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	140	22	29	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	73.3%	11.5%	15.2%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	16.1%	10.6%	20.3%	15.6%
		% of Total	11.5%	1.8%	2.4%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	152	35	41	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	66.7%	15.4%	18.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	17.5%	16.9%	28.7%	18.7%
		% of Total	12.4%	2.9%	3.4%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	125	43	17	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	67.6%	23.2%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	14.4%	20.8%	11.9%	15.2%
		% of Total	10.2%	3.5%	1.4%	15.2%
Strongly disapprove	Count	387	86	46	519	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	74.6%	16.6%	8.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	44.4%	41.5%	32.2%	42.5%	
	% of Total	31.7%	7.0%	3.8%	42.5%	
don't know	Count	67	21	10	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	68.4%	21.4%	10.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	7.7%	10.1%	7.0%	8.0%	
	% of Total	5.5%	1.7%	.8%	8.0%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	83	15	23	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	68.6%	12.4%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	9.5%	7.2%	16.1%	9.9%
		% of Total	6.8%	1.2%	1.9%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	131	19	28	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	73.6%	10.7%	15.7%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	15.0%	9.2%	19.6%	14.6%
		% of Total	10.7%	1.6%	2.3%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	127	31	26	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	69.0%	16.8%	14.1%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	14.6%	15.0%	18.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	10.4%	2.5%	2.1%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	506	129	62	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	72.6%	18.5%	8.9%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	58.1%	62.3%	43.4%	57.1%
		% of Total	41.4%	10.6%	5.1%	57.1%
don't know	Count	24	13	4	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	58.5%	31.7%	9.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	2.8%	6.3%	2.8%	3.4%	
	% of Total	2.0%	1.1%	.3%	3.4%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	183	36	36	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	71.8%	14.1%	14.1%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	21.0%	17.4%	25.2%	20.9%
		% of Total	15.0%	2.9%	2.9%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	580	140	85	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	72.0%	17.4%	10.6%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	66.6%	67.6%	59.4%	65.9%
		% of Total	47.5%	11.5%	7.0%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	108	31	22	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	67.1%	19.3%	13.7%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	12.4%	15.0%	15.4%	13.2%
		% of Total	8.8%	2.5%	1.8%	13.2%
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q8. Marital status Crosstabulation

			Q8. Marital status			Total
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	398	89	50	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	74.1%	16.6%	9.3%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	45.7%	43.0%	35.0%	44.0%
		% of Total	32.6%	7.3%	4.1%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	236	53	38	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	72.2%	16.2%	11.6%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	27.1%	25.6%	26.6%	26.8%
		% of Total	19.3%	4.3%	3.1%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	216	55	51	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	67.1%	17.1%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Q8. Marital status	24.8%	26.6%	35.7%	26.4%
		% of Total	17.7%	4.5%	4.2%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	21	10	4	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	60.0%	28.6%	11.4%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	2.4%	4.8%	2.8%	2.9%	
	% of Total	1.7%	.8%	.3%	2.9%	
Total	Count	871	207	143	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q8. Marital status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	71.3%	17.0%	11.7%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	114	212	138	80	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	21.0%	39.0%	25.4%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	43.8%	44.4%	46.9%	42.1%	44.6%
		% of Total	9.3%	17.4%	11.3%	6.6%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	119	221	139	100	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	20.6%	38.2%	24.0%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	45.8%	46.3%	47.3%	52.6%	47.4%
		% of Total	9.7%	18.1%	11.4%	8.2%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	27	44	17	10	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	27.6%	44.9%	17.3%	10.2%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	10.4%	9.2%	5.8%	5.3%	8.0%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.6%	1.4%	.8%	8.0%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	40	89	66	43	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	16.8%	37.4%	27.7%	18.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	15.4%	18.7%	22.4%	22.6%	19.5%
		% of Total	3.3%	7.3%	5.4%	3.5%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	177	332	208	130	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	20.9%	39.2%	24.6%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	68.1%	69.6%	70.7%	68.4%	69.4%
		% of Total	14.5%	27.2%	17.0%	10.6%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	43	56	20	17	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	31.6%	41.2%	14.7%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	16.5%	11.7%	6.8%	8.9%	11.1%
		% of Total	3.5%	4.6%	1.6%	1.4%	11.1%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	51	77	57	46	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	22.1%	33.3%	24.7%	19.9%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	42.1%	41.0%	42.9%	46.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	9.4%	14.2%	10.5%	8.5%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	42	68	57	41	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	20.2%	32.7%	27.4%	19.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	34.7%	36.2%	42.9%	41.0%	38.4%
		% of Total	7.7%	12.5%	10.5%	7.6%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	28	43	19	13	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	27.2%	41.7%	18.4%	12.6%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	23.1%	22.9%	14.3%	13.0%	19.0%
		% of Total	5.2%	7.9%	3.5%	2.4%	19.0%
Total	Count	121	188	133	100	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	22.3%	34.7%	24.5%	18.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	22.3%	34.7%	24.5%	18.5%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Schwarzenegger	Count	83	165	116	72	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	19.0%	37.8%	26.6%	16.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	31.9%	34.6%	39.5%	37.9%	35.7%
		% of Total	6.8%	13.5%	9.5%	5.9%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	54	95	60	41	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	21.6%	38.0%	24.0%	16.4%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	20.8%	19.9%	20.4%	21.6%	20.5%
		% of Total	4.4%	7.8%	4.9%	3.4%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	109	207	114	74	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	21.6%	41.1%	22.6%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	41.9%	43.4%	38.8%	38.9%	41.3%
		% of Total	8.9%	17.0%	9.3%	6.1%	41.3%
	Don't know	Count	14	10	4	3	31
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	45.2%	32.3%	12.9%	9.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	5.4%	2.1%	1.4%	1.6%	2.5%
		% of Total	1.1%	.8%	.3%	.2%	2.5%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	76	129	75	52	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	22.9%	38.9%	22.6%	15.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	29.2%	27.0%	25.5%	27.4%	27.2%
		% of Total	6.2%	10.6%	6.1%	4.3%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	149	285	198	121	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	19.8%	37.8%	26.3%	16.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	57.3%	59.7%	67.3%	63.7%	61.7%
		% of Total	12.2%	23.3%	16.2%	9.9%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	35	63	21	17	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	25.7%	46.3%	15.4%	12.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	13.5%	13.2%	7.1%	8.9%	11.1%
		% of Total	2.9%	5.2%	1.7%	1.4%	11.1%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	87	197	143	101	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	16.5%	37.3%	27.1%	19.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	33.5%	41.3%	48.6%	53.2%	43.2%
		% of Total	7.1%	16.1%	11.7%	8.3%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	129	193	121	71	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	25.1%	37.5%	23.5%	13.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	49.6%	40.5%	41.2%	37.4%	42.1%
		% of Total	10.6%	15.8%	9.9%	5.8%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	44	87	30	18	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	24.6%	48.6%	16.8%	10.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	16.9%	18.2%	10.2%	9.5%	14.7%
		% of Total	3.6%	7.1%	2.5%	1.5%	14.7%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	51	58	24	11	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	35.4%	40.3%	16.7%	7.6%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	19.6%	12.2%	8.2%	5.8%	11.8%
		% of Total	4.2%	4.8%	2.0%	.9%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	43	86	61	53	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	17.7%	35.4%	25.1%	21.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	16.5%	18.0%	20.7%	27.9%	19.9%
		% of Total	3.5%	7.0%	5.0%	4.3%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	113	217	124	66	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	21.7%	41.7%	23.8%	12.7%	100.0%
% within Q9. Household income		43.5%	45.5%	42.2%	34.7%	42.6%	
% of Total		9.3%	17.8%	10.2%	5.4%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	24	46	28	19	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	20.5%	39.3%	23.9%	16.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	9.2%	9.6%	9.5%	10.0%	9.6%	
	% of Total	2.0%	3.8%	2.3%	1.6%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	11	42	35	32	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	9.2%	35.0%	29.2%	26.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	4.2%	8.8%	11.9%	16.8%	9.8%	
	% of Total	.9%	3.4%	2.9%	2.6%	9.8%	
Other	Count	9	22	20	8	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	15.3%	37.3%	33.9%	13.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	3.5%	4.6%	6.8%	4.2%	4.8%	
	% of Total	.7%	1.8%	1.6%	.7%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	9	6	2	1	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	50.0%	33.3%	11.1%	5.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	3.5%	1.3%	.7%	.5%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.7%	.5%	.2%	.1%	1.5%	
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	98	167	108	78	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	21.7%	37.0%	23.9%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	37.7%	35.0%	36.7%	41.1%	36.9%
		% of Total	8.0%	13.7%	8.8%	6.4%	36.9%
	No	Count	123	254	165	98	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	19.2%	39.7%	25.8%	15.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	47.3%	53.2%	56.1%	51.6%	52.4%
		% of Total	10.1%	20.8%	13.5%	8.0%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	39	56	21	14	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	30.0%	43.1%	16.2%	10.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	15.0%	11.7%	7.1%	7.4%	10.6%
		% of Total	3.2%	4.6%	1.7%	1.1%	10.6%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	48	65	48	30	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	25.1%	34.0%	25.1%	15.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	18.5%	13.6%	16.3%	15.8%	15.6%
		% of Total	3.9%	5.3%	3.9%	2.5%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	50	92	50	36	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	21.9%	40.4%	21.9%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	19.2%	19.3%	17.0%	18.9%	18.7%
		% of Total	4.1%	7.5%	4.1%	2.9%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	38	80	41	26	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	20.5%	43.2%	22.2%	14.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	14.6%	16.8%	13.9%	13.7%	15.2%
		% of Total	3.1%	6.6%	3.4%	2.1%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	93	208	136	82	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	17.9%	40.1%	26.2%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	35.8%	43.6%	46.3%	43.2%	42.5%
		% of Total	7.6%	17.0%	11.1%	6.7%	42.5%
	don't know	Count	31	32	19	16	98
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	31.6%	32.7%	19.4%	16.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	11.9%	6.7%	6.5%	8.4%	8.0%
		% of Total	2.5%	2.6%	1.6%	1.3%	8.0%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	29	44	27	21	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	24.0%	36.4%	22.3%	17.4%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	11.2%	9.2%	9.2%	11.1%	9.9%
		% of Total	2.4%	3.6%	2.2%	1.7%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	39	60	41	38	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	21.9%	33.7%	23.0%	21.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	15.0%	12.6%	13.9%	20.0%	14.6%
		% of Total	3.2%	4.9%	3.4%	3.1%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	46	67	44	27	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	25.0%	36.4%	23.9%	14.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	17.7%	14.0%	15.0%	14.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	3.8%	5.5%	3.6%	2.2%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	135	291	171	100	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	19.4%	41.8%	24.5%	14.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	51.9%	61.0%	58.2%	52.6%	57.1%
		% of Total	11.1%	23.8%	14.0%	8.2%	57.1%
don't know	Count	11	15	11	4	41	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	26.8%	36.6%	26.8%	9.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	4.2%	3.1%	3.7%	2.1%	3.4%	
	% of Total	.9%	1.2%	.9%	.3%	3.4%	
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	51	92	63	49	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	20.0%	36.1%	24.7%	19.2%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	19.6%	19.3%	21.4%	25.8%	20.9%
		% of Total	4.2%	7.5%	5.2%	4.0%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	165	330	190	120	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	20.5%	41.0%	23.6%	14.9%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	63.5%	69.2%	64.6%	63.2%	65.9%
		% of Total	13.5%	27.0%	15.6%	9.8%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	44	55	41	21	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	27.3%	34.2%	25.5%	13.0%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	16.9%	11.5%	13.9%	11.1%	13.2%
		% of Total	3.6%	4.5%	3.4%	1.7%	13.2%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q9. Household income Crosstabulation

			Q9. Household income				Total
			Less than \$40,000	\$40,001-80,000	\$80,001-120,000	\$120,001 or more	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	127	224	123	63	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	23.6%	41.7%	22.9%	11.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	48.8%	47.0%	41.8%	33.2%	44.0%
		% of Total	10.4%	18.3%	10.1%	5.2%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	70	126	71	60	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	21.4%	38.5%	21.7%	18.3%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	26.9%	26.4%	24.1%	31.6%	26.8%
		% of Total	5.7%	10.3%	5.8%	4.9%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	53	110	94	65	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	16.5%	34.2%	29.2%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	20.4%	23.1%	32.0%	34.2%	26.4%
		% of Total	4.3%	9.0%	7.7%	5.3%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	10	17	6	2	35
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	28.6%	48.6%	17.1%	5.7%	100.0%
		% within Q9. Household income	3.8%	3.6%	2.0%	1.1%	2.9%
		% of Total	.8%	1.4%	.5%	.2%	2.9%
Total	Count	260	477	294	190	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q9. Household income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	21.3%	39.1%	24.1%	15.6%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	14	68	223	228	11	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	2.6%	12.5%	41.0%	41.9%	2.0%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	8.3%	20.3%	61.4%	74.5%	22.4%	44.6%
		% of Total	1.1%	5.6%	18.3%	18.7%	.9%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	148	235	110	54	32	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	25.6%	40.6%	19.0%	9.3%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	88.1%	70.1%	30.3%	17.6%	65.3%	47.4%
		% of Total	12.1%	19.2%	9.0%	4.4%	2.6%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	6	32	30	24	6	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	6.1%	32.7%	30.6%	24.5%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	3.6%	9.6%	8.3%	7.8%	12.2%	8.0%
		% of Total	.5%	2.6%	2.5%	2.0%	.5%	8.0%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	70	104	41	17	6	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	29.4%	43.7%	17.2%	7.1%	2.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	41.7%	31.0%	11.3%	5.6%	12.2%	19.5%
		% of Total	5.7%	8.5%	3.4%	1.4%	.5%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	72	189	280	273	33	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	8.5%	22.3%	33.1%	32.2%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	42.9%	56.4%	77.1%	89.2%	67.3%	69.4%
		% of Total	5.9%	15.5%	22.9%	22.4%	2.7%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	26	42	42	16	10	136
% within Q14 Legislature approval		19.1%	30.9%	30.9%	11.8%	7.4%	100.0%	
% within Q10. Political philosophy		15.5%	12.5%	11.6%	5.2%	20.4%	11.1%	
% of Total		2.1%	3.4%	3.4%	1.3%	.8%	11.1%	
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	



Q15. Democratic candidates * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation


			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q15. Democrat candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	84	112	24	2	9	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	36.4%	48.5%	10.4%	.9%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	57.5%	40.9%	27.3%	22.2%	36.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	15.5%	20.7%	4.4%	.4%	1.7%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	36	118	42	3	9	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	17.3%	56.7%	20.2%	1.4%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	24.7%	43.1%	47.7%	33.3%	36.0%	38.4%
		% of Total	6.6%	21.8%	7.7%	.6%	1.7%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	26	44	22	4	7	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	25.2%	42.7%	21.4%	3.9%	6.8%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	17.8%	16.1%	25.0%	44.4%	28.0%	19.0%
		% of Total	4.8%	8.1%	4.1%	.7%	1.3%	19.0%
Total	Count	146	274	88	9	25	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	26.9%	50.6%	16.2%	1.7%	4.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	26.9%	50.6%	16.2%	1.7%	4.6%	100.0%	



Q15. Democratic candidates


Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Shwarzenegger	Count	9	32	182	206	7	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	2.1%	7.3%	41.7%	47.2%	1.6%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	5.4%	9.6%	50.1%	67.3%	14.3%	35.7%
		% of Total	.7%	2.6%	14.9%	16.9%	.6%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	94	118	27	6	5	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	37.6%	47.2%	10.8%	2.4%	2.0%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	56.0%	35.2%	7.4%	2.0%	10.2%	20.5%
		% of Total	7.7%	9.7%	2.2%	.5%	4%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	58	179	143	91	33	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	11.5%	35.5%	28.4%	18.1%	6.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	34.5%	53.4%	39.4%	29.7%	67.3%	41.3%
		% of Total	4.8%	14.7%	11.7%	7.5%	2.7%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	7	6	11	3	4	31	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	22.6%	19.4%	35.5%	9.7%	12.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	4.2%	1.8%	3.0%	1.0%	8.2%	2.5%	
	% of Total	.6%	.5%	.9%	.2%	.3%	2.5%	
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

		Q10. Political philosophy					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	42	76	119	86	9	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	12.7%	22.9%	35.8%	25.9%	2.7%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	25.0%	22.7%	32.8%	28.1%	18.4%	27.2%
		% of Total	3.4%	6.2%	9.7%	7.0%	.7%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	106	217	209	189	32	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	14.1%	28.8%	27.8%	25.1%	4.2%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	63.1%	64.8%	57.6%	61.8%	65.3%	61.7%
		% of Total	8.7%	17.8%	17.1%	15.5%	2.6%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	20	42	35	31	8	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	14.7%	30.9%	25.7%	22.8%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	11.9%	12.5%	9.6%	10.1%	16.3%	11.1%
		% of Total	1.6%	3.4%	2.9%	2.5%	.7%	11.1%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

		Q10. Political philosophy					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	47	130	164	182	5	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	8.9%	24.6%	31.1%	34.5%	.9%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	28.0%	38.8%	45.2%	59.5%	10.2%	43.2%
		% of Total	3.8%	10.6%	13.4%	14.9%	.4%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	97	156	144	85	32	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	18.9%	30.4%	28.0%	16.5%	6.2%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	57.7%	46.6%	39.7%	27.8%	65.3%	42.1%
		% of Total	7.9%	12.8%	11.8%	7.0%	2.6%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	24	49	55	39	12	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	13.4%	27.4%	30.7%	21.8%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	14.3%	14.6%	15.2%	12.7%	24.5%	14.7%
		% of Total	2.0%	4.0%	4.5%	3.2%	1.0%	14.7%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	17	54	39	29	5	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	11.8%	37.5%	27.1%	20.1%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	10.1%	16.1%	10.7%	9.5%	10.2%	11.8%
		% of Total	1.4%	4.4%	3.2%	2.4%	.4%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	71	102	41	18	11	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	29.2%	42.0%	16.9%	7.4%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	42.3%	30.4%	11.3%	5.9%	22.4%	19.9%
		% of Total	5.8%	8.4%	3.4%	1.5%	.9%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	21	71	198	216	14	520
% within Q19. Most important issue		4.0%	13.7%	38.1%	41.5%	2.7%	100.0%	
% within Q10. Political philosophy		12.5%	21.2%	54.5%	70.6%	28.6%	42.6%	
% of Total		1.7%	5.8%	16.2%	17.7%	1.1%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	22	45	33	10	7	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	18.8%	38.5%	28.2%	8.5%	6.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	13.1%	13.4%	9.1%	3.3%	14.3%	9.6%	
	% of Total	1.8%	3.7%	2.7%	.8%	.6%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	17	44	40	15	4	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	14.2%	36.7%	33.3%	12.5%	3.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	10.1%	13.1%	11.0%	4.9%	8.2%	9.8%	
	% of Total	1.4%	3.6%	3.3%	1.2%	.3%	9.8%	
Other	Count	17	13	8	14	7	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	28.8%	22.0%	13.6%	23.7%	11.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	10.1%	3.9%	2.2%	4.6%	14.3%	4.8%	
	% of Total	1.4%	1.1%	.7%	1.1%	.6%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	3	6	4	4	1	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	16.7%	33.3%	22.2%	22.2%	5.6%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	1.8%	1.8%	1.1%	1.3%	2.0%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.2%	.5%	.3%	.3%	.1%	1.5%	
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	118	187	92	37	17	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	26.2%	41.5%	20.4%	8.2%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	70.2%	55.8%	25.3%	12.1%	34.7%	36.9%
		% of Total	9.7%	15.3%	7.5%	3.0%	1.4%	36.9%
	No	Count	25	112	231	252	20	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	3.9%	17.5%	36.1%	39.4%	3.1%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	14.9%	33.4%	63.6%	82.4%	40.8%	52.4%
		% of Total	2.0%	9.2%	18.9%	20.6%	1.6%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	25	36	40	17	12	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	19.2%	27.7%	30.8%	13.1%	9.2%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	14.9%	10.7%	11.0%	5.6%	24.5%	10.6%
		% of Total	2.0%	2.9%	3.3%	1.4%	1.0%	10.6%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	66	66	34	13	12	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	34.6%	34.6%	17.8%	6.8%	6.3%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	39.3%	19.7%	9.4%	4.2%	24.5%	15.6%
		% of Total	5.4%	5.4%	2.8%	1.1%	1.0%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	59	88	51	24	6	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	25.9%	38.6%	22.4%	10.5%	2.6%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	35.1%	26.3%	14.0%	7.8%	12.2%	18.7%
		% of Total	4.8%	7.2%	4.2%	2.0%	.5%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	17	73	67	21	7	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	9.2%	39.5%	36.2%	11.4%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	10.1%	21.8%	18.5%	6.9%	14.3%	15.2%
		% of Total	1.4%	6.0%	5.5%	1.7%	.6%	15.2%
Strongly disapprove	Count	16	76	188	221	18	519	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	3.1%	14.6%	36.2%	42.6%	3.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	9.5%	22.7%	51.8%	72.2%	36.7%	42.5%	
	% of Total	1.3%	6.2%	15.4%	18.1%	1.5%	42.5%	
don't know	Count	10	32	23	27	6	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	10.2%	32.7%	23.5%	27.6%	6.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	6.0%	9.6%	6.3%	8.8%	12.2%	8.0%	
	% of Total	.8%	2.6%	1.9%	2.2%	.5%	8.0%	
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	51	43	15	5	7	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	42.1%	35.5%	12.4%	4.1%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	30.4%	12.8%	4.1%	1.6%	14.3%	9.9%
		% of Total	4.2%	3.5%	1.2%	.4%	.6%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	58	71	28	13	8	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	32.6%	39.9%	15.7%	7.3%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	34.5%	21.2%	7.7%	4.2%	16.3%	14.6%
		% of Total	4.8%	5.8%	2.3%	1.1%	.7%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	29	79	54	16	6	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	15.8%	42.9%	29.3%	8.7%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	17.3%	23.6%	14.9%	5.2%	12.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	2.4%	6.5%	4.4%	1.3%	.5%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	23	130	256	266	22	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	3.3%	18.7%	36.7%	38.2%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	13.7%	38.8%	70.5%	86.9%	44.9%	57.1%
		% of Total	1.9%	10.6%	21.0%	21.8%	1.8%	57.1%
	don't know	Count	7	12	10	6	6	41
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	17.1%	29.3%	24.4%	14.6%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	4.2%	3.6%	2.8%	2.0%	12.2%	3.4%
		% of Total	.6%	1.0%	.8%	.5%	.5%	3.4%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	96	104	30	11	14	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	37.6%	40.8%	11.8%	4.3%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	57.1%	31.0%	8.3%	3.6%	28.6%	20.9%
		% of Total	7.9%	8.5%	2.5%	.9%	1.1%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	46	165	291	276	27	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	5.7%	20.5%	36.1%	34.3%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	27.4%	49.3%	80.2%	90.2%	55.1%	65.9%
		% of Total	3.8%	13.5%	23.8%	22.6%	2.2%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	26	66	42	19	8	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	16.1%	41.0%	26.1%	11.8%	5.0%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	15.5%	19.7%	11.6%	6.2%	16.3%	13.2%
		% of Total	2.1%	5.4%	3.4%	1.6%	.7%	13.2%
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q10. Political philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q10. Political philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	33	84	192	201	27	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	6.1%	15.6%	35.8%	37.4%	5.0%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	19.6%	25.1%	52.9%	65.7%	55.1%	44.0%
		% of Total	2.7%	6.9%	15.7%	16.5%	2.2%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	53	105	94	67	8	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	16.2%	32.1%	28.7%	20.5%	2.4%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	31.5%	31.3%	25.9%	21.9%	16.3%	26.8%
		% of Total	4.3%	8.6%	7.7%	5.5%	.7%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	81	144	68	16	13	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	25.2%	44.7%	21.1%	5.0%	4.0%	100.0%
		% within Q10. Political philosophy	48.2%	43.0%	18.7%	5.2%	26.5%	26.4%
		% of Total	6.6%	11.8%	5.6%	1.3%	1.1%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	9	22	1	35
% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor		2.9%	5.7%	25.7%	62.9%	2.9%	100.0%	
% within Q10. Political philosophy		.6%	.6%	2.5%	7.2%	2.0%	2.9%	
% of Total		.1%	.2%	.7%	1.8%	.1%	2.9%	
Total	Count	168	335	363	306	49	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q10. Political philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	13.8%	27.4%	29.7%	25.1%	4.0%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

		Q11. Social issues					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	18	78	226	213	9	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	3.3%	14.3%	41.5%	39.2%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	8.3%	23.9%	64.8%	72.9%	24.3%	44.6%
		% of Total	1.5%	6.4%	18.5%	17.4%	.7%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	184	221	95	56	23	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	31.8%	38.2%	16.4%	9.7%	4.0%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	85.2%	67.6%	27.2%	19.2%	62.2%	47.4%
		% of Total	15.1%	18.1%	7.8%	4.6%	1.9%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	14	28	28	23	5	98
		% within Q13. Governor approval	14.3%	28.6%	28.6%	23.5%	5.1%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	6.5%	8.6%	8.0%	7.9%	13.5%	8.0%
		% of Total	1.1%	2.3%	2.3%	1.9%	.4%	8.0%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	93	92	37	15	1	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	39.1%	38.7%	15.5%	6.3%	.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	43.1%	28.1%	10.6%	5.1%	2.7%	19.5%
		% of Total	7.6%	7.5%	3.0%	1.2%	.1%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	93	191	279	258	26	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	11.0%	22.6%	32.9%	30.5%	3.1%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	43.1%	58.4%	79.9%	88.4%	70.3%	69.4%
		% of Total	7.6%	15.6%	22.9%	21.1%	2.1%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	30	44	33	19	10	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	22.1%	32.4%	24.3%	14.0%	7.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	13.9%	13.5%	9.5%	6.5%	27.0%	11.1%
		% of Total	2.5%	3.6%	2.7%	1.6%	.8%	11.1%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	98	101	24	3	5	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	42.4%	43.7%	10.4%	1.3%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	52.7%	40.9%	30.8%	25.0%	26.3%	42.6%
		% of Total	18.1%	18.6%	4.4%	.6%	.9%	42.6%
State Controller Steve Westly		Count	54	111	31	5	7	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	26.0%	53.4%	14.9%	2.4%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	29.0%	44.9%	39.7%	41.7%	36.8%	38.4%
		% of Total	10.0%	20.5%	5.7%	.9%	1.3%	38.4%
Undecided/Don't know		Count	34	35	23	4	7	103
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	33.0%	34.0%	22.3%	3.9%	6.8%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	18.3%	14.2%	29.5%	33.3%	36.8%	19.0%
		% of Total	6.3%	6.5%	4.2%	.7%	1.3%	19.0%
Total		Count	186	247	78	12	19	542
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	34.3%	45.6%	14.4%	2.2%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	34.3%	45.6%	14.4%	2.2%	3.5%	100.0%




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Schwarzenegger	Count	16	42	181	191	6	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	3.7%	9.6%	41.5%	43.8%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	7.4%	12.8%	51.9%	65.4%	16.2%	35.7%
		% of Total	1.3%	3.4%	14.8%	15.6%	.5%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	114	102	28	4	2	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	45.6%	40.8%	11.2%	1.6%	.8%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	52.8%	31.2%	8.0%	1.4%	5.4%	20.5%
		% of Total	9.3%	8.4%	2.3%	.3%	.2%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	79	175	128	95	27	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	15.7%	34.7%	25.4%	18.8%	5.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	36.6%	53.5%	36.7%	32.5%	73.0%	41.3%
		% of Total	6.5%	14.3%	10.5%	7.8%	2.2%	41.3%
	Don't know	Count	7	8	12	2	2	31
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	22.6%	25.8%	38.7%	6.5%	6.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	3.2%	2.4%	3.4%	.7%	5.4%	2.5%
		% of Total	.6%	.7%	1.0%	.2%	.2%	2.5%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	47	77	115	86	7	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	14.2%	23.2%	34.6%	25.9%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	21.8%	23.5%	33.0%	29.5%	18.9%	27.2%
		% of Total	3.8%	6.3%	9.4%	7.0%	.6%	27.2%
	Wrong direction	Count	142	211	196	178	26	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	18.9%	28.0%	26.0%	23.6%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	65.7%	64.5%	56.2%	61.0%	70.3%	61.7%
		% of Total	11.6%	17.3%	16.1%	14.6%	2.1%	61.7%
	Don't know	Count	27	39	38	28	4	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	19.9%	28.7%	27.9%	20.6%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	12.5%	11.9%	10.9%	9.6%	10.8%	11.1%
		% of Total	2.2%	3.2%	3.1%	2.3%	.3%	11.1%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q17. Direction of state	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	76	114	176	160	2	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	14.4%	21.6%	33.3%	30.3%	.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	35.2%	34.9%	50.4%	54.8%	5.4%	43.2%
		% of Total	6.2%	9.3%	14.4%	13.1%	.2%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	115	159	125	90	25	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	22.4%	30.9%	24.3%	17.5%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	53.2%	48.6%	35.8%	30.8%	67.6%	42.1%
		% of Total	9.4%	13.0%	10.2%	7.4%	2.0%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	25	54	48	42	10	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	14.0%	30.2%	26.8%	23.5%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	11.6%	16.5%	13.8%	14.4%	27.0%	14.7%
		% of Total	2.0%	4.4%	3.9%	3.4%	.8%	14.7%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	20	51	40	30	3	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	13.9%	35.4%	27.8%	20.8%	2.1%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	9.3%	15.6%	11.5%	10.3%	8.1%	11.8%
		% of Total	1.6%	4.2%	3.3%	2.5%	.2%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	87	94	40	15	7	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	35.8%	38.7%	16.5%	6.2%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	40.3%	28.7%	11.5%	5.1%	18.9%	19.9%
		% of Total	7.1%	7.7%	3.3%	1.2%	.6%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	25	85	194	205	11	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	4.8%	16.3%	37.3%	39.4%	2.1%	100.0%
% within Q11. Social issues		11.6%	26.0%	55.6%	70.2%	29.7%	42.6%	
% of Total		2.0%	7.0%	15.9%	16.8%	.9%	42.6%	
Economy	Count	29	40	34	8	6	117	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	24.8%	34.2%	29.1%	6.8%	5.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	13.4%	12.2%	9.7%	2.7%	16.2%	9.6%	
	% of Total	2.4%	3.3%	2.8%	.7%	.5%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	27	45	32	14	2	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	22.5%	37.5%	26.7%	11.7%	1.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	12.5%	13.8%	9.2%	4.8%	5.4%	9.8%	
	% of Total	2.2%	3.7%	2.6%	1.1%	.2%	9.8%	
Other	Count	25	7	7	16	4	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	42.4%	11.9%	11.9%	27.1%	6.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	11.6%	2.1%	2.0%	5.5%	10.8%	4.8%	
	% of Total	2.0%	.6%	.6%	1.3%	.3%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	3	5	2	4	4	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	16.7%	27.8%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	1.4%	1.5%	.6%	1.4%	10.8%	1.5%	
	% of Total	.2%	.4%	.2%	.3%	.3%	1.5%	
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

		Q11. Social issues					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	149	171	85	33	13	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	33.0%	37.9%	18.8%	7.3%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	69.0%	52.3%	24.4%	11.3%	35.1%	36.9%
		% of Total	12.2%	14.0%	7.0%	2.7%	1.1%	36.9%
	No	Count	39	120	233	239	9	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	6.1%	18.8%	36.4%	37.3%	1.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	18.1%	36.7%	66.8%	81.8%	24.3%	52.4%
		% of Total	3.2%	9.8%	19.1%	19.6%	.7%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	28	36	31	20	15	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	21.5%	27.7%	23.8%	15.4%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	13.0%	11.0%	8.9%	6.8%	40.5%	10.6%
		% of Total	2.3%	2.9%	2.5%	1.6%	1.2%	10.6%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	81	61	25	13	11	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	42.4%	31.9%	13.1%	6.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	37.5%	18.7%	7.2%	4.5%	29.7%	15.6%
		% of Total	6.6%	5.0%	2.0%	1.1%	.9%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	69	84	51	21	3	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	30.3%	36.8%	22.4%	9.2%	1.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	31.9%	25.7%	14.6%	7.2%	8.1%	18.7%
		% of Total	5.7%	6.9%	4.2%	1.7%	.2%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	27	71	62	21	4	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	14.6%	38.4%	33.5%	11.4%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	12.5%	21.7%	17.8%	7.2%	10.8%	15.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	5.8%	5.1%	1.7%	.3%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	25	83	188	211	12	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	4.8%	16.0%	36.2%	40.7%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	11.6%	25.4%	53.9%	72.3%	32.4%	42.5%
		% of Total	2.0%	6.8%	15.4%	17.3%	1.0%	42.5%
don't know	Count	14	28	23	26	7	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	14.3%	28.6%	23.5%	26.5%	7.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	6.5%	8.6%	6.6%	8.9%	18.9%	8.0%	
	% of Total	1.1%	2.3%	1.9%	2.1%	.6%	8.0%	
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	58	40	14	5	4	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	47.9%	33.1%	11.6%	4.1%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	26.9%	12.2%	4.0%	1.7%	10.8%	9.9%
		% of Total	4.8%	3.3%	1.1%	.4%	.3%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	69	61	29	11	8	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	38.8%	34.3%	16.3%	6.2%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	31.9%	18.7%	8.3%	3.8%	21.6%	14.6%
		% of Total	5.7%	5.0%	2.4%	.9%	.7%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	39	77	45	17	6	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	21.2%	41.8%	24.5%	9.2%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	18.1%	23.5%	12.9%	5.8%	16.2%	15.1%
		% of Total	3.2%	6.3%	3.7%	1.4%	.5%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	39	141	249	253	15	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	5.6%	20.2%	35.7%	36.3%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	18.1%	43.1%	71.3%	86.6%	40.5%	57.1%
		% of Total	3.2%	11.5%	20.4%	20.7%	1.2%	57.1%
	don't know	Count	11	8	12	6	4	41
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	26.8%	19.5%	29.3%	14.6%	9.8%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	5.1%	2.4%	3.4%	2.1%	10.8%	3.4%
		% of Total	.9%	.7%	1.0%	.5%	.3%	3.4%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	125	83	26	10	11	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	49.0%	32.5%	10.2%	3.9%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	57.9%	25.4%	7.4%	3.4%	29.7%	20.9%
		% of Total	10.2%	6.8%	2.1%	.8%	.9%	20.9%
	Less likely	Count	53	182	286	265	19	805
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	6.6%	22.6%	35.5%	32.9%	2.4%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	24.5%	55.7%	81.9%	90.8%	51.4%	65.9%
		% of Total	4.3%	14.9%	23.4%	21.7%	1.6%	65.9%
	Don't know	Count	38	62	37	17	7	161
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	23.6%	38.5%	23.0%	10.6%	4.3%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	17.6%	19.0%	10.6%	5.8%	18.9%	13.2%
		% of Total	3.1%	5.1%	3.0%	1.4%	.6%	13.2%
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q11. Social issues Crosstabulation

			Q11. Social issues					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	40	85	198	195	19	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	7.4%	15.8%	36.9%	36.3%	3.5%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	18.5%	26.0%	56.7%	66.8%	51.4%	44.0%
		% of Total	3.3%	7.0%	16.2%	16.0%	1.6%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	65	105	89	62	6	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	19.9%	32.1%	27.2%	19.0%	1.8%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	30.1%	32.1%	25.5%	21.2%	16.2%	26.8%
		% of Total	5.3%	8.6%	7.3%	5.1%	.5%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	110	135	51	16	10	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	34.2%	41.9%	15.8%	5.0%	3.1%	100.0%
		% within Q11. Social issues	50.9%	41.3%	14.6%	5.5%	27.0%	26.4%
		% of Total	9.0%	11.1%	4.2%	1.3%	.8%	26.4%
	Don't know	Count	1	2	11	19	2	35
% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor		2.9%	5.7%	31.4%	54.3%	5.7%	100.0%	
% within Q11. Social issues		.5%	.6%	3.2%	6.5%	5.4%	2.9%	
% of Total		.1%	.2%	.9%	1.6%	.2%	2.9%	
Total	Count	216	327	349	292	37	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	
	% within Q11. Social issues	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	17.7%	26.8%	28.6%	23.9%	3.0%	100.0%	




Q13. Governor approval * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q13. Governor approval	Approve	Count	8	45	219	260	12	544
		% within Q13. Governor approval	1.5%	8.3%	40.3%	47.8%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	10.7%	15.2%	50.9%	69.5%	26.7%	44.6%
		% of Total	.7%	3.7%	17.9%	21.3%	1.0%	44.6%
	Disapprove	Count	63	228	174	86	28	579
		% within Q13. Governor approval	10.9%	39.4%	30.1%	14.9%	4.8%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	84.0%	76.8%	40.5%	23.0%	62.2%	47.4%
		% of Total	5.2%	18.7%	14.3%	7.0%	2.3%	47.4%
	Don't know	Count	4	24	37	28	5	98
% within Q13. Governor approval		4.1%	24.5%	37.8%	28.6%	5.1%	100.0%	
% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy		5.3%	8.1%	8.6%	7.5%	11.1%	8.0%	
% of Total		.3%	2.0%	3.0%	2.3%	.4%	8.0%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q13. Governor approval	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q14 Legislature approval * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q14 Legislature approval	Approve	Count	32	105	73	24	4	238
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	13.4%	44.1%	30.7%	10.1%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	42.7%	35.4%	17.0%	6.4%	8.9%	19.5%
		% of Total	2.6%	8.6%	6.0%	2.0%	.3%	19.5%
	Disapprove	Count	34	151	305	329	28	847
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	4.0%	17.8%	36.0%	38.8%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	45.3%	50.8%	70.9%	88.0%	62.2%	69.4%
		% of Total	2.8%	12.4%	25.0%	26.9%	2.3%	69.4%
	Don't know	Count	9	41	52	21	13	136
		% within Q14 Legislature approval	6.6%	30.1%	38.2%	15.4%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	12.0%	13.8%	12.1%	5.6%	28.9%	11.1%
		% of Total	.7%	3.4%	4.3%	1.7%	1.1%	11.1%
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q14 Legislature approval	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q15. Democratic candidates * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q15. Democratic candidates	State Treasurer Phil Angelides	Count	43	117	63	4	4	231
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	18.6%	50.6%	27.3%	1.7%	1.7%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	68.3%	45.2%	36.0%	16.0%	20.0%	42.6%
		% of Total	7.9%	21.6%	11.6%	.7%	.7%	42.6%
	State Controller Steve Westly	Count	10	95	85	11	7	208
		% within Q15. Democratic candidates	4.8%	45.7%	40.9%	5.3%	3.4%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	15.9%	36.7%	48.6%	44.0%	35.0%	38.4%
		% of Total	1.8%	17.5%	15.7%	2.0%	1.3%	38.4%
	Undecided/Don't know	Count	10	47	27	10	9	103
% within Q15. Democratic candidates		9.7%	45.6%	26.2%	9.7%	8.7%	100.0%	
% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy		15.9%	18.1%	15.4%	40.0%	45.0%	19.0%	
	% of Total	1.8%	8.7%	5.0%	1.8%	1.7%	19.0%	
Total	Count	63	259	175	25	20	542	
	% within Q15. Democratic candidates	11.6%	47.8%	32.3%	4.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	11.6%	47.8%	32.3%	4.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q16. Governor vs. Legislature * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	Governor Schwarzenegger	Count	8	19	158	246	5	436
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	1.8%	4.4%	36.2%	56.4%	1.1%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	10.7%	6.4%	36.7%	65.8%	11.1%	35.7%
		% of Total	.7%	1.6%	12.9%	20.1%	.4%	35.7%
	State legislature	Count	40	127	68	9	6	250
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	16.0%	50.8%	27.2%	3.6%	2.4%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	53.3%	42.8%	15.8%	2.4%	13.3%	20.5%
		% of Total	3.3%	10.4%	5.6%	.7%	.5%	20.5%
	Neither	Count	25	143	191	115	30	504
		% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	5.0%	28.4%	37.9%	22.8%	6.0%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	33.3%	48.1%	44.4%	30.7%	66.7%	41.3%
		% of Total	2.0%	11.7%	15.6%	9.4%	2.5%	41.3%
Don't know	Count	2	8	13	4	4	31	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	6.5%	25.8%	41.9%	12.9%	12.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	2.7%	2.7%	3.0%	1.1%	8.9%	2.5%	
	% of Total	.2%	.7%	1.1%	.3%	.3%	2.5%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q16. Governor vs. Legislature	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q17. Direction of state * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

		Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q17. Direction of state	Right direction	Count	22	66	127	109	8	332
		% within Q17. Direction of state	6.6%	19.9%	38.3%	32.8%	2.4%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	29.3%	22.2%	29.5%	29.1%	17.8%	27.2%
		% of Total	1.8%	5.4%	10.4%	8.9%	.7%	27.2%
Wrong direction		Count	49	193	254	229	28	753
		% within Q17. Direction of state	6.5%	25.6%	33.7%	30.4%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	65.3%	65.0%	59.1%	61.2%	62.2%	61.7%
		% of Total	4.0%	15.8%	20.8%	18.8%	2.3%	61.7%
Don't know		Count	4	38	49	36	9	136
		% within Q17. Direction of state	2.9%	27.9%	36.0%	26.5%	6.6%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	5.3%	12.8%	11.4%	9.6%	20.0%	11.1%
		% of Total	.3%	3.1%	4.0%	2.9%	.7%	11.1%
Total		Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221
		% within Q17. Direction of state	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%




Q18. CA. financial condition * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

		Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total	
		Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know		
Q18. CA. financial condition	Good times	Count	23	98	191	208	8	528
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	4.4%	18.6%	36.2%	39.4%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	30.7%	33.0%	44.4%	55.6%	17.8%	43.2%
		% of Total	1.9%	8.0%	15.6%	17.0%	.7%	43.2%
	Bad times	Count	44	151	181	113	25	514
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	8.6%	29.4%	35.2%	22.0%	4.9%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	58.7%	50.8%	42.1%	30.2%	55.6%	42.1%
		% of Total	3.6%	12.4%	14.8%	9.3%	2.0%	42.1%
	Don't know	Count	8	48	58	53	12	179
		% within Q18. CA. financial condition	4.5%	26.8%	32.4%	29.6%	6.7%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	10.7%	16.2%	13.5%	14.2%	26.7%	14.7%
		% of Total	.7%	3.9%	4.8%	4.3%	1.0%	14.7%
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q18. CA. financial condition	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q19. Most important issue * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q19. Most important issue	Gas prices	Count	15	46	41	33	9	144
		% within Q19. Most important issue	10.4%	31.9%	28.5%	22.9%	6.3%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	20.0%	15.5%	9.5%	8.8%	20.0%	11.8%
	Public schools	Count	33	98	79	26	7	243
		% within Q19. Most important issue	13.6%	40.3%	32.5%	10.7%	2.9%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	44.0%	33.0%	18.4%	7.0%	15.6%	19.9%
	Immigration	Count	6	57	195	250	12	520
		% within Q19. Most important issue	1.2%	11.0%	37.5%	48.1%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	8.0%	19.2%	45.3%	66.8%	26.7%	42.6%
	Economy	Count	9	37	48	17	6	117
% within Q19. Most important issue		7.7%	31.6%	41.0%	14.5%	5.1%	100.0%	
% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total		12.0%	12.5%	11.2%	4.5%	13.3%	9.6%	
Budget deficit	Count	6	35	49	27	3	120	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	5.0%	29.2%	40.8%	22.5%	2.5%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	8.0%	11.8%	11.4%	7.2%	6.7%	9.8%	
Other	Count	6	16	16	17	4	59	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	10.2%	27.1%	27.1%	28.8%	6.8%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	8.0%	5.4%	3.7%	4.5%	8.9%	4.8%	
Don't know	Count	0	8	2	4	4	18	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	.0%	44.4%	11.1%	22.2%	22.2%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy % of Total	.0%	2.7%	.5%	1.1%	8.9%	1.5%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q19. Most important issue	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q20. Proposition 82 * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q20. Proposition 82	Yes	Count	54	183	142	55	17	451
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	12.0%	40.6%	31.5%	12.2%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	72.0%	61.6%	33.0%	14.7%	37.8%	36.9%
		% of Total	4.4%	15.0%	11.6%	4.5%	1.4%	36.9%
	No	Count	14	76	238	299	13	640
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	2.2%	11.9%	37.2%	46.7%	2.0%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	18.7%	25.6%	55.3%	79.9%	28.9%	52.4%
		% of Total	1.1%	6.2%	19.5%	24.5%	1.1%	52.4%
	Don't know	Count	7	38	50	20	15	130
		% within Q20. Proposition 82	5.4%	29.2%	38.5%	15.4%	11.5%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	9.3%	12.8%	11.6%	5.3%	33.3%	10.6%
		% of Total	.6%	3.1%	4.1%	1.6%	1.2%	10.6%
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q20. Proposition 82	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q21. State senate resolution * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q21. State senate resolution	Strongly approve	Count	35	77	46	18	15	191
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	18.3%	40.3%	24.1%	9.4%	7.9%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	46.7%	25.9%	10.7%	4.8%	33.3%	15.6%
		% of Total	2.9%	6.3%	3.8%	1.5%	1.2%	15.6%
	Somewhat approve	Count	25	83	84	31	5	228
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	11.0%	36.4%	36.8%	13.6%	2.2%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	33.3%	27.9%	19.5%	8.3%	11.1%	18.7%
		% of Total	2.0%	6.8%	6.9%	2.5%	.4%	18.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	8	52	88	31	6	185
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	4.3%	28.1%	47.6%	16.8%	3.2%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	10.7%	17.5%	20.5%	8.3%	13.3%	15.2%
		% of Total	.7%	4.3%	7.2%	2.5%	.5%	15.2%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	7	56	175	269	12	519
		% within Q21. State senate resolution	1.3%	10.8%	33.7%	51.8%	2.3%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	9.3%	18.9%	40.7%	71.9%	26.7%	42.5%
		% of Total	.6%	4.6%	14.3%	22.0%	1.0%	42.5%
don't know	Count	0	29	37	25	7	98	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	.0%	29.6%	37.8%	25.5%	7.1%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	.0%	9.8%	8.6%	6.7%	15.6%	8.0%	
	% of Total	.0%	2.4%	3.0%	2.0%	.6%	8.0%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q21. State senate resolution	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	




Q22. Legislature session cancellation * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q22. Legislature session cancellation	Strongly approve	Count	27	54	26	7	7	121
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	22.3%	44.6%	21.5%	5.8%	5.8%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	36.0%	18.2%	6.0%	1.9%	15.6%	9.9%
		% of Total	2.2%	4.4%	2.1%	.6%	.6%	9.9%
	Somewhat approve	Count	31	66	52	21	8	178
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	17.4%	37.1%	29.2%	11.8%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	41.3%	22.2%	12.1%	5.6%	17.8%	14.6%
		% of Total	2.5%	5.4%	4.3%	1.7%	.7%	14.6%
	Somewhat disapprove	Count	8	72	77	21	6	184
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	4.3%	39.1%	41.8%	11.4%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	10.7%	24.2%	17.9%	5.6%	13.3%	15.1%
		% of Total	.7%	5.9%	6.3%	1.7%	.5%	15.1%
	Strongly disapprove	Count	9	91	260	319	18	697
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	1.3%	13.1%	37.3%	45.8%	2.6%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	12.0%	30.6%	60.5%	85.3%	40.0%	57.1%
		% of Total	.7%	7.5%	21.3%	26.1%	1.5%	57.1%
	don't know	Count	0	14	15	6	6	41
		% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	.0%	34.1%	36.6%	14.6%	14.6%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	.0%	4.7%	3.5%	1.6%	13.3%	3.4%
		% of Total	.0%	1.1%	1.2%	.5%	.5%	3.4%
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q22. Legislature session cancellation	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	



Q23. Support issuing driver license * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q23. Support issuing driver license	More likely	Count	45	109	70	16	15	255
		% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	17.6%	42.7%	27.5%	6.3%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	60.0%	36.7%	16.3%	4.3%	33.3%	20.9%
		% of Total	3.7%	8.9%	5.7%	1.3%	1.2%	20.9%
Less likely	Count	21	125	299	337	23	805	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	2.6%	15.5%	37.1%	41.9%	2.9%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	28.0%	42.1%	69.5%	90.1%	51.1%	65.9%	
	% of Total	1.7%	10.2%	24.5%	27.6%	1.9%	65.9%	
Don't know	Count	9	63	61	21	7	161	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	5.6%	39.1%	37.9%	13.0%	4.3%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	12.0%	21.2%	14.2%	5.6%	15.6%	13.2%	
	% of Total	.7%	5.2%	5.0%	1.7%	.6%	13.2%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q23. Support issuing driver license	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	



Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor * Q12. Fiscal philosophy Crosstabulation

			Q12. Fiscal philosophy					Total
			Very liberal	Somewhat liberal	Somewhat conservative	Very conservative	Don't know	
Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	Very important	Count	21	72	180	243	21	537
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	3.9%	13.4%	33.5%	45.3%	3.9%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	28.0%	24.2%	41.9%	65.0%	46.7%	44.0%
		% of Total	1.7%	5.9%	14.7%	19.9%	1.7%	44.0%
	Somewhat important	Count	24	96	122	76	9	327
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	7.3%	29.4%	37.3%	23.2%	2.8%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	32.0%	32.3%	28.4%	20.3%	20.0%	26.8%
		% of Total	2.0%	7.9%	10.0%	6.2%	.7%	26.8%
	Not very important	Count	30	128	116	35	13	322
		% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	9.3%	39.8%	36.0%	10.9%	4.0%	100.0%
		% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	40.0%	43.1%	27.0%	9.4%	28.9%	26.4%
		% of Total	2.5%	10.5%	9.5%	2.9%	1.1%	26.4%
Don't know	Count	0	1	12	20	2	35	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	.0%	2.9%	34.3%	57.1%	5.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	.0%	.3%	2.8%	5.3%	4.4%	2.9%	
	% of Total	.0%	.1%	1.0%	1.6%	.2%	2.9%	
Total	Count	75	297	430	374	45	1221	
	% within Q24. Importance of license in vote for governor	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	
	% within Q12. Fiscal philosophy	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	% of Total	6.1%	24.3%	35.2%	30.6%	3.7%	100.0%	

