



Datamar Monday Tracking Survey

50th Congressional District Special Election

Only One Day Remaining

Roach, Bilbray Still In Statistical Tie For Second

Busby's Lead Holds

Date: April 10, 2006

Contact: Raul Furlong
619-579-8244

www.datamar.com


Sample size 578

+/- 4.07 percent sampling error

April 7-9, 2006

San Diego – Again... little candidate “movement” was shown in the daily tracking poll released Saturday by Datamar Inc. regarding candidates from all political parties in the 50th Congressional Special Election to be held on Tuesday. This survey questioned *50th Congressional District high-propensity registered voters -- voters who voted in the 2004 Presidential primary and general elections and in the 2002 gubernatorial primary and general elections.*

Q10 Candidate Preference



	Another candidate	Alan Uke	Bill Morrow	Howard Kaloogian	Brian Bilbray	Eric Roach	Francine Busby
Q10 Candidate Preference	5.9	4.7	6.7	10.4	15.6	16.1	40.7

Percent

Methodology: Findings are based on a April 7-9, 2006, telephone survey of 578 high-propensity registered voters in the 50th Congressional District. A proprietary algorithm was used to generate random samples of voters for calling. **The sampling error for the total sample is +/- 4.07 percent at the 95 percent confidence level.** The sampling error for subgroups is larger. Results are weighted to adjust for variations in the sample for age, gender, political affiliation, and race.


Registered voters vs. high-propensity voters: Registered voters who *actually vote* are significantly fewer than the voters who are registered to vote. Although it is sometimes useful to determine the opinions of all registered voters (and even the opinions of the general population), surveying the opinions of *the high-propensity voters* is more efficient and predictive for electoral/political purposes.

This survey is based on defining “high-propensity” voters as those eligible registered voters who have demonstrated high-propensity by having *actually voted* in one or more recent elections, specifically:


Voted in the 2004 Presidential primary and general elections *and* in the 2002 gubernatorial primary and general elections.

We believe that this performance based definition is superior to other definitions -- for example, labeling as “high-propensity” those registered voters who merely answer “Yes” when asked, “Do you plan to vote in this election?”. The interviewer did not even inquire as to respondents’ “plan” to vote, which we believe to be a generally less accurate predictor of voting than verified recent voting history.

District Demographics



Voters By Age Group



Registered Voters	14.8	41.6	22.9	20.7
High Propensity	5.6	33.4	32	29
Datamar Survey	5.6	35.1	32.4	28.4

DATAMAR, INC.

April 10, 2006

Survey Toplines

Sample size 578

+/- 4.07 percent sampling error

April 7-9, 2006

50th Congressional District high-propensity registered voters – voters who voted in the 2002 and 2004 Presidential primary elections

Q1. Are you registered to vote in the County of San Diego?

Yes 100%
No

Q2. Are you registered as Democrat, Republican, another party, or as “no party registration”?

Q2 Party Registration

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Democrat	192	33.2	33.2	33.2
Republican	314	54.3	54.3	87.5
Another party	22	3.8	3.8	91.3
No party registration	50	8.7	8.7	100.0
Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q3. Are you?

Q3 Gender

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Male	283	49.0	49.0	49.0
Female	295	51.0	51.0	100.0
Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q4. What is your age, please?

Q4 Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	24	4.2	4.2	4.2
	30-49	203	35.1	35.1	39.3
	50-59	187	32.4	32.4	71.6
	60 or older	164	28.4	28.4	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q5. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Q5 Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High school graduate or less	36	6.2	6.2	6.2
	Some college/trade school	130	22.5	22.5	28.7
	Graduated college or more	412	71.3	71.3	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q6. Are you or is anyone in your household a member of a labor union, such as trade union, service employee union, public employee union, or teachers union?

Q6 Labor

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	114	19.7	19.7	19.7
	No	464	80.3	80.3	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q7. What do you consider to be your ethnic group?

Q7 Ethnic Group

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White non-hispanic	493	85.3	85.3	85.3
	Hispanic/latino	37	6.4	6.4	91.7
	Black/African-American	9	1.6	1.6	93.3
	Asian/other	39	6.7	6.7	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q8. What is your marital status?

Q8 Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	419	72.5	72.5	72.5
	Seaprated/divorced/widowed	107	18.5	18.5	91.0
	Never married	52	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

Q9. What is your household income?

Q9 Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than \$40,000	90	15.6	15.6	15.6
	\$40,001-80,000	173	29.9	29.9	45.5
	\$80,001-120,000	158	27.3	27.3	72.8
	\$120,001 or more	157	27.2	27.2	100.0
	Total	578	100.0	100.0	

This April 11th there is a special election to finish the term of ex-Congressman Randy “Duke” Cunningham,

Q10. If the election were held today, for whom would you vote?

Q10 Candidate Preference

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Bill Morrow	39	6.7	6.7	6.7
Howard Kaloogian	60	10.4	10.4	17.1
Alan Uke	27	4.7	4.7	21.8
Eric Roach	93	16.1	16.1	37.9
Brian Bilbray	90	15.6	15.6	53.5
Francine Busby	235	40.7	40.7	94.1
Another candidate	34	5.9	5.9	100.0
Total	578	100.0	100.0	